12:08:21 1	was his son, but a young young male came out
12:08:26 2	from from that house.
12:08:27 3	BY MR. DAVENPORT:
12:08:27 4	Q. What led you to believe that it may
12:08:29 5	have been Mr. Kistner's son?
12:08:30 6	A. I don't I don't know if it was ever
12:08:38 7	said that it was his son, but he came out of the
12:08:41 8	same house that Mr. Kistner came out of.
12:08:43 9	Q. So you did see Mr. Kistner come out of
12:08:45 10	the house, the same house that that individual came
12:08:48 11	out of?
12:08:49 12	A. Yeah.
12:08:49 13	Q. Okay. Did you watch Mr. Kistner leave
12:08:53 14	that house initially?
12:08:55 15	A. It it wasn't too important enough
12:08:59 16	for me at the time. We were just leaving.
12:09:03 17	Q. Was were you in your police vehicle
12:09:06 18	when you saw Mr. Kistner first?
12:09:09 19	MS. HUGGINS: Form.
12:09:12 20	THE WITNESS: Yeah, I don't remember.
12:09:13 21	BY MR. DAVENPORT:
12:09:13 22	Q. Were you out in the street?
12:09:16 23	A. No. I would have been in the car.
*	

12:09:18	Q. Okay. And so how long approximately
12:09:21	was it, after you first saw Mr. Kistner, that you
12:09:24	then made it out into the street?
12:09:29	A. From when we were trying to leave, to
12:09:32	when I saw him come into the street? Is that what
12:09:35	you're saying?
12 09:36	Q. Well, I guess what you were telling me
12:09:38	is that you saw that Mr. Kistner walked out of the
12:09:40	same house that the second person came out of.
12:09:42 10	So I guess what my question is: After you
12:09:46 1	first saw Mr. Kistner come out of that house, how
12:09:48 12	long was it before he entered the street?
12:09:49 1	MS. HUGGINS: Form. You can answer.
12.05.45 1.	
12:09:50 1	
	THE WITNESS: I don't I don't I don't
12:09:50 14	THE WITNESS: I don't I don't I don't know if I'd be able to judge that time. I'm I'm
12:09:50 1 4	THE WITNESS: I don't I don't I don't know if I'd be able to judge that time. I'm I'm thinking it was quick. BY MR. DAVENPORT:
12:09:50 14 12:09:57 1 12:10:01 1	THE WITNESS: I don't I don't I don't know if I'd be able to judge that time. I'm I'm thinking it was quick. BY MR. DAVENPORT:
12:09:50 14 12:09:57 1 12:10:01 1	THE WITNESS: I don't I don't I don't know if I'd be able to judge that time. I'm I'm thinking it was quick. BY MR. DAVENPORT: Q. Was it minutes or was it seconds?
12:09:50 14 12:09:57 1 12:10:01 1 12:10:02 1	THE WITNESS: I don't I don't I don't know if I'd be able to judge that time. I'm I'm thinking it was quick. BY MR. DAVENPORT: Q. Was it minutes or was it seconds? A. Not many minutes.
12:09:50 14 12:09:57 15 12:10:01 16 12:10:02 16 12:10:05 1	THE WITNESS: I don't I don't I don't know if I'd be able to judge that time. I'm I'm thinking it was quick. BY MR. DAVENPORT: Q. Was it minutes or was it seconds? A. Not many minutes. Q. But you're thinking it would have been
12:09:50 14 12:09:57 1 12:10:01 1 12:10:02 1 12:10:05 1 12:10:07 2	THE WITNESS: I don't I don't I don't know if I'd be able to judge that time. I'm I'm thinking it was quick. BY MR. DAVENPORT: Q. Was it minutes or was it seconds? A. Not many minutes. Q. But you're thinking it would have been minutes?
12:09:50 14 12:09:57 14 12:10:01 14 12:10:02 14 12:10:05 1 12:10:07 2 12:10:10 2	THE WITNESS: I don't I don't I don't know if I'd be able to judge that time. I'm I'm thinking it was quick. BY MR. DAVENPORT: Q. Was it minutes or was it seconds? A. Not many minutes. Q. But you're thinking it would have been minutes? A. Like maybe one or maybe seconds.

12:10:16	1	A. Yeah, I don't know.
12:10:17	2	Q. Do you know why you and Karl Schultz
12:10:20	3	would have been sitting in your police vehicle for
12:10:22	4	a few minutes after you first entered your police
12:10:25	5	vehicle?
12:10:26	6	MS. HUGGINS: Form.
12:10:26	7	THE WITNESS: I I don't remember.
12:10:29	8	He could have been giving me an on-the-spot
12:10:33	9	evaluation. We could have just been talking about
12:10:38	10	nothing. We could have been just sitting there.
12:10:42	11	And like I said, I don't remember what
12:10:45	12	what all we did on the larceny at 33 Schmarbeck, so
12:10:50	13	we could have just been talking about that right
12:10:53	14	before we were leaving.
12:10:54	15	BY MR. DAVENPORT:
12:10:54	16	Q. Would that be something that he would
12:10:56	17	normally do is give you an on-the-spot evaluation?
12:10:58	18	A. Sometimes.
12:10:59	19	Q. Was that often or
12:11:02	20	A. Over 16 weeks, yeah, I mean, sometimes
12:11:05	21	he would just be like: You did a good job, or,
12:11:08	22	hey, do this, do this better.
12 11:09	23	Q. What kinds of things would he ask you
		i e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e

	1	
12:11:12	1	to you know, what kind sorry. Strike that.
12:11:15	2	What kinds of procedures would he point out
12:11:17	3	that you didn't possibly do correctly during your
12:11:20	4	training?
12:11:20	5	MS. HUGGINS: Form.
12:11:21	6	THE WITNESS: Well, like I said, basic
12:11:25	7	information, if you if you get their name, date
12:11:28	8	of birth, and phone number, maybe you forget
12:11:30	9	a phone number to write down or something or, you
12:11:34	10	know, something something small like that, he
12:11:37	11	would be like: Hey, go back out there and do this.
12:11:39	12	Or, hey, make sure you do this better next time.
12:11:44	13	BY MR. DAVENPORT:
12:11:44	14	Q. Now, would you have I'm sorry.
12:11:50	15	Strike that.
12:11:51	16	For when you were walking back towards
12 11:54	17	Mr. Kistner towards the police vehicle, you said
12:11:56	18	that you saw him on the ground, correct?
12:11:59	19	A. Yeah.
12:12:00	20	Q. Was he sitting up, or was he laying
12:12:02	21	down?
12:12:10	22	A. I think he was laying down, but
12:12:12	23	I can't I can't be too sure.
)		

12 12:15	1	Q. Okay.
12:12:16	2	A. Very long time ago.
12:12:17	3	Q. No. Sure. Sure.
12:12:20	4	Was he holding any part of his body at that
12:12:24	5	time?
12:12:24	6	A. I don't remember.
12:12:24	7	Q. Okay. Was he complaining about his
12:12:27	8	head hurting him?
12:12:27	9	A. I don't remember.
12:12:31	10	Q. Who was the first person that made it
12:12:34	11	to Mr. Kistner? Who first spoke to him?
12:12:36	12	MS. HUGGINS: Form.
12:12:38	13	THE WITNESS: I at this point in time, it
12:12:40	14	was not me, so I don't know who spoke to him first.
12:12:45	15	BY MR. DAVENPORT:
12:12:45	16	Q. Okay. Once somebody did speak to
12:12:52	17	Mr. Kistner, do you remember what was said to him?
12:12:54	18	A. No.
12:12:54	19	Q. What kinds of things would have been
12:12:56	20	said to him?
12:12:56	21	MS. HUGGINS: Form.
12:57	22	THE WITNESS: I'm I'm unsure of what type
12:13:00	23	of things could have or would have been said to
1		

12:13:05	1	him. Very new in a very chaotic situation.
12:13:09	2	I didn't do much but attempt to observe as much
12:13:13	3	as I could.
12:13:14	4	BY MR. DAVENPORT:
12:13:14	5	Q. And what were you trying to observe?
12:13:17	6	A. What other officers were were doing,
12:13:21	7	what Mr. Kistner was doing.
12:13:22	8	Q. Now, I understand that you were new at
12:13:24	9	the time
12:13:24	10	A. Yeah.
12:13:24	11	Q but based on the experience that
12:13:27	12	you've been able to gain, what should have been
12:13:29	13	done if there was an individual whether he threw
12:13:31	14	himself at the police vehicle or whether the police
12:13:33	15	vehicle struck him, if he was on the ground, what
12:13:36	16	should have been done next?
12:13:37	17	MS. HUGGINS: Form.
12:13:37	18	THE WITNESS: Well, I mean, it's not so much
12:13:40	19	as what should have been done, it's what we did.
12:13:42	20	And what we did was observe what had just taken
12:13:46	21	place in front of us, and then other senior
12:13:51	22	officers assessed what to do.
12:13:53	23	BY MR. DAVENPORT:

12:13:53	1	Q. Okay. And was there any sort of an
12:13:54	2	assessment of any physical injuries or medical
12:13:57	3	conditions that he may have had?
12:14:00	4	A. Yeah. I mean, as we're as we were
12:14:02	5	walking up, you can you can assess the person as
12:14:07	6	they are visually in front of you.
12:14:12	7	You know, he didn't have bones sticking out.
12:14:17	8	There was no tons of blood or anything leaking out
12:14:21	9	anywhere. That was the assessment that I made.
12:14:24	10	I don't know what the other officers senior
12:14:28	11	officers did. Or observed. I'm sorry.
12:14:31	12	Q. Right.
12:14:32	13	Now, would it only take bones sticking out
12:14:35	14	or blood gushing all over the place for that person
12:14:37	15	to have a physical examination by a physician of
12:14:40	16	any injuries he may have had?
12:14:41	17	MS. HUGGINS: Form.
12:14:44	18	THE WITNESS: No, but we did take him to
12:14:46	19	ECMC.
12:14:46	20	BY MR. DAVENPORT:
12:14:46	21	Q. And how long, approximately, after he
12:14:49	22	had been on the ground, was he taken to ECMC?
12:14:51	23	A. I'm I'm unsure.
5		1

What would be the appropriate response 1 Q. 12:14:53 time for getting him to ECMC after a car -- a 12:14:56 collision with a car? 12:14:59 3 MS. HUGGINS: Form. 12:14:59 4 THE WITNESS: I -- I would say that there's --12:15:00 the appropriate response time would be determined 12:15:03 6 by the actions of the person that was -- that was 12:15:08 hit and the officer's assessment. 12:15:12 8 12:15:15 9 BY MR. DAVENPORT: Now, why would the actions of the 12:15:15 10 Q. individual play a part in whether that person 12:15:17 11 should go to the hospital for an assessment of 12:15:20 12 physical injuries? 12:15:21 13 Well, I say -- I say the actions of the 12:15:22 14 person because I'm going off of what I had 12:15:25 15 previously stated about observations. 12:15:28 16 If you're bleeding from a gunshot wound, and 12:15:30 17 sometimes ADI, there's just no -- I'm sorry. Not 12:15:33 18 ADI, but an ambulance, sometimes there's no 12:15:36 19 ambulance even available, so we might take them 12:15:38 20 immediately. 12:15:41 21 Now, even assuming that there might not Q. 12:15:42 22

12:15:45 23 | be blood gushing, would you sometimes take

individuals to ECMC yourself rather than waiting 12:15:49 1 for an ambulance to arrive? 12:15:51 2 There are -- there are times, yes. 12:15:53 3 And what would be those times where an 12:15:56 officer rather than an ambulance would take that 12:15:58 5 individual to assess them for physical injuries? 12:16:01 6 12:16:04 MS. HUGGINS: Form. 7 THE WITNESS: Maybe because -- I mean, this 12:16:05 8 goes back to where I said that there's a lot of 12:16:08 different variables. 12:16:10 10 12:16:11 11 Maybe the officer wants to just get them there quicker. Maybe there's no ambulance 12:16:14 12 available. Maybe they're saying that the ambulance 12:16:17 13 is taking too long. The observed potential 12:16:20 14 12:16:26 15 injuries. I mean, there's -- there's a lot of 12:16:27 16 different variables that would -- that would make 12:16:28 17 someone take them to ECMC in their patrol vehicle 12:16:31 18 or not to. 12:16:35 19 BY MR. DAVENPORT: 12:16:36 20

Q. So what types of observed personal injuries would make it where an officer rather than an ambulance would take that person to ECMC?

12:16:36 21

12:16:39 22

12:16:41 23

12:16:45	1	MS. HUGGINS: Form.
12:16:45	2	THE WITNESS: So so, again, it would
12:16:48	3	go it would go back to other different variables.
12:16:51	4	If there's there's been times where
12:16:52	5	people have been shot and we let them go in an
12:16:55	6	ambulance. There's other times where we rush them
12:16:58	7	there in our in our patrol car.
12:16:59	8	BY MR. DAVENPORT:
12:17:00	9	Q. Well, sure, but let's move away from
12:17:02	10	a gunshot wound. Let's say that a person had some
12:17:06	11	sort of a head injury, because that's, you know,
12:17:09	12	more pertinent to this case.
12:17:10	13	What sorts of circumstances would make it
12:17:12	14	where a police officer rather than an ambulance
12 17:16	15	would drive that individual to the hospital?
12:17:19	16	MS. HUGGINS: Form.
12:17:24	17	THE WITNESS: Maybe we're just trying to get
12:17:27	18	them quicker attention, and it's it's if
12:17:33	19	the maybe if the subject is still moving around,
12:17:35	20	we know that there's no neck injury, so you can
12:17:38	21	still take them.
12:17:40	22	BY MR. DAVENPORT:
12:17:40	23	Q. Is that

12:17:41 1	A. There's other there's a lot of
12:17:43 2	different variables.
12:17:46 3	Q. Now
12:17:46 4	A. Going off of observations from that
12:17:50 5	specific time. There's no there's no set rule
12:17:53 6	that one follows.
12:17:56 7	Q. Now, would you agree with me that if
12:17:59 8	there was some sort of internal brain bleeding,
12:18:01 9	that would be something that would be not
12 18:03 10	observable, correct?
12:18:04 11	MS. HUGGINS: Form.
12:18:05 12	THE WITNESS: Internal brain bleeding would
12:18:06 13	not be observable, that is correct.
12:18:07 14	BY MR. DAVENPORT:
12:18:07 15	Q. And would that be part of your
12:18:09 16	assessment of the individual, whether he should be
12:18:12 17	driven by police officers or driven by an ambulance?
12:18:15 18	MS. HUGGINS: Form.
12:18:16 19	THE WITNESS: Can you can you say that
12 18:18 20	again?
12:18:19 21	BY MR. DAVENPORT:
12:18:19 22	Q. Would it be part of your consideration
12:18:21 23	as a police officer, if that person may have

1	internal brain bleeding, that that person should go
2	by ambulance or by a police vehicle?
3	A. Sure, if there was something that we
4	thought was happening internally.
5	Q. And what kinds of things would you
6	observe that would lead you to believe that
7	something was happening internally?
8	MS. HUGGINS: Form.
9	THE WITNESS: Maybe discoloration of the
10	face. Maybe there was some breathing problems.
11	Before we might do something like that, maybe an
12	officer with experience might make some type of
13	decision as far as whether or not to take someone.
14	BY MR. DAVENPORT:
15	Q. If a person had an abrasion on their
16	head after a car accident, would it be an ambulance
17	or a police officer that would drive that individual
18	to the hospital?
19	MS. HUGGINS: Form.
20	THE WITNESS: That can be determined on scene.
21	That can change from from accident to accident.
22	BY MR. DAVENPORT:
23	Q. And what sorts of things in your
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17

12:19:26	1	training would lead you to believe that that is
12:19:28	2	something that is an officer's discretion rather
12:19:30	3	than something that you are mandated to do?
12:19:32	4	MS. HUGGINS: Form.
12:19:37	5	THE WITNESS: You mean taking them in the
12:19:39	6	patrol vehicle
12:19:40	7	BY MR. DAVENPORT:
12:19:40	8	Q. Rather than an ambulance.
12 19:41	9	A up to ECMC?
12:19:43	10	Maybe the the the person is
12:19:47	11	complaining of pain severe pain and there's no
12:19:50	12	ambulance available. Or maybe we're just trying to
12:19:53	13	get them quicker medical attention.
12:19:55	14	Q. If somebody noticed an abrasion on
12:19:57	15	their head, would they have to be medically
12:20:00	16	examined?
12:20:00	17	MS. HUGGINS: Form.
12:20:02	18	THE WITNESS: No, not necessarily. They
12 20:03	19	don't have to be. They can deny medical attention.
12:20:07	20	BY MR. DAVENPORT:
12:20:07	21	Q. If that person doesn't deny medical
12:20:09	22	attention, are you obligated, as a police officer,
12:20:11	23	to ensure that that person gets medical attention?

12:20:13	1	A. Yeah.
12:20:13	2	Q. Do you, as you sit here today, know if
12:20:17	3	Mr. Kistner had an abrasion on his head that day?
12:20:19	4	A. I don't I don't remember. I was
12:20:23	5	observing what the other officers were doing.
12:20:24	6	Q. Now, if somebody was complaining that
12:20:28	7	their head hurt, are you obligated, as a police
12:20:30	8	officer, to then go and check that person's head?
12:20:39	9	A. Yeah.
12:20:41	10	Q. Do you know, as you sit here today, if
12:20:43	11	anybody went to go check Mr. Kistner's head?
12:20:46	12	A. I don't know if I don't know if
12:20:49	13	if the other officers were just observing from
12:20:52	14	where they were standing or not.
12:20:56	15	They very well could have been just
12:20:59	16	observing from where where they were standing.
12:21:02	17	Q. Do you agree that if a person has hair
12:21:04	18	rather than no hair, it may not be something that's
12:21:08	19	observable without actually putting your hands
12:21:11	20	through that individual's hair to notice if there's
12:21:13	21	an abrasion or not?
12:21:14	22	MS. HUGGINS: Form.
12:21:19	23	THE WITNESS: I would say that the relevance
1 (

to do that wouldn't be there when we can just have a medical professional do that and be the -- be the judge on that.

BY MR. DAVENPORT:

Q. Now, I agree that, you know, this person should eventually be checked by a medical professional, but if at the scene that person is complaining of a head injury, you don't observe any abrasions, and they might be located in the hair somewhere where there's hair located, what would determine whether that person needs to go directly to the hospital through an ambulance or whether the police officer can drive that person to go get the medical attention that they need?

MS. HUGGINS: Form. Asked and answered.

THE WITNESS: There's -- there's a -- it's just police discretion.

Like I said, I've -- I've done that a few times where I've just driven them up there and never observed any -- any -- any physical or medical injury and just took them in there to see a medical professional.

There's other times where I just call on the

12:21:31 3 12:21:33 12:21:33 12:21:36 7 12:21:38 12:21:41 8 12:21:44 12:21:45 10 12:21:49 11 12:21:52 12 12:21:55 13 12:22:00 14 12:22:02 15 12:22:03 16 12:22:05 17 12:22:06 18 12:22:09 19 12:22:12 20 12:22:16 21 12:22:18 22

12:22:20 23

12:21:23

12:21:27

1

2

scene and I've never observed anything, and there's 12:22:25 times where I have observed things and I've taken 12:22:28 2 them to ECMC or let an ambulance come to the scene. 12:22:29 3 I mean, it just depends on -- on the call. 12:22:34 4 But I wasn't making that call that day. 12:22:36 5 BY MR. DAVENPORT: 12:22:37 6 Sure. Q. 7 12:22:37 If somebody has an abrasion on their 12:22:38 8 forehead, would they be required to get medical 12:22:41 9 12:22:44 10 attention? They're not required to, if they can 12:22:44 11 Α. decline medical attention, if they choose to. 12:22:47 12 If they decline -- if they don't 12:22:49 13 decline medical attention, are you required, as 12:22:51 14 a police officer, to make sure that they have their 12:22:53 15 12:22:55 16 head checked, if you see an --If they --12:22:55 17 Α. -- abrasion on there? 12:22:58 18 0. If they -- if they don't decline, yeah. Α. 12:22:59 19 I mean, there's times where -- like I said, it 12:23:01 20 depends on what -- what the person wants, because 12:23:03 21 people have said, well, I'll just go get it checked 12:23:06 22

out later.

12:23:09 23

12:23:09	1	They've told us that, and we don't have to
12:23:13	2	Q. Did Mr
12:23:14	3	A to wait for them.
12:23:15	4	Q. Did Mr. Kistner tell you that he was
12:23:17	5	going to go get his head checked out later?
12:23:19	6	A. I don't remember if Mr. Kistner told
12:23:21	7	me anything specifically to me. He could have
12:23:25	8	told other officers that.
12:23:27	9	Q. Now, when you were walking back towards
12:23:30	10	Mr. Kistner, you said that he was on the ground,
12:23:32	11	correct?
12:23:33	12	A. Yeah. Yeah.
12:23:34	13	Q. And when you first got to Mr. Kistner,
12:23:38	14	did you make your own visual assessment of
12:23:40	15	Mr. Kistner?
12:23:42	16	A. Yeah.
12:23:42	17	Q. Was he on the ground, or was he sitting
12:23:45	18	up at that time?
12:23:47	19	MS. HUGGINS: Form.
12:23:48	20	THE WITNESS: I don't I don't
12:23:49	21	MS. HUGGINS: Asked and answered.
12:23:51	22	THE WITNESS: I don't remember if he was
12:23:52	23	sitting down. I'm pretty sure he was laying down.

12:23:54	l	BY M	R. DAVENPORT:
12:23:54	2	Q.	Did Mr. Kistner ever sit up at any
12:23:56	3 ti	me?	
12:23:58	1	A.	I don't remember.
12:23:59	5	Q.	Did anybody bring Mr. Kistner to his
12:24:03	fe fe	et?	
12:24:03	7	A.	I know that I helped him walk back to
12:24:08	3 th	e truck.	I don't know if I was one of the ones
12:24:10	9 th	at sat hi	m up and helped him to his feet.
12:24:18 1	0	Q.	Do you remember who put the handcuffs
12:24:20 1	1 on	Mr. Kist	ner?
12:24:21 1	2	A.	I don't.
12 24:22 1	3	Q.	Were handcuffs put on Mr. Kistner?
12:24:24 1	4	A.	I believe so.
12:24:26 1	5	Q.	And who would have been the person to
12:24:28 1	6 pu	t those h	andcuffs on Mr. Kistner?
12:24:30 1	7	A.	I don't remember.
12:24:31 1	8	Q.	Up until this point, have you ever put
12:24:35 1	9 ha	ndcuffs o	n an individual?
12:24:36 2	0	Α.	Yes.
12:24:36 2	1	Q.	And was that something that you did
12:24:38 2	2 be	fore Janu	ary 1st of 2017?
12 24:44 2	3	A.	I don't know if I had used my own cuffs
<u> </u>	ļ		

12:24:47	1	on someone up until this point.
12:24:49	2	Q. Had you ever used somebody else's
12:24:51	3	handcuffs before January 1st of 2017?
12:24:56	4	A. I don't remember yet.
12:24:58	5	Q. Okay. During your 16 weeks, do you
12:25:00	6	have an approximation for how many times you used
12 25:03	7	handcuffs on an an individual?
12:25:05	8	A. It was it was often, but up until
12:25:09	9	this date, I don't I don't remember if I had
12:25:10	10	done that yet.
12:25:11	11	Q. Okay. As you were walking Mr. Kistner
12:25:14	12	back towards your vehicle, what was the purpose for
12:25:16	13	walking him towards your vehicle rather than Lauren
12:25:21	14	McDermott and Jenny Velez's vehicle?
12:25:23	15	A. I don't remember why that call was
12:25:24	16	made.
12:25:25	17	Q. Do you know who that call was made by?
12:25:30	18	A. I don't remember.
12:25:31	19	Q. Who was the most senior officer at that
12:25:34	20	situation?
12:25:36	21	A. I don't know how much time Lieutenant
12:25:39	22	Velez has on.
12:25:40	23	Q. Okay.
1		

12:25:41	1	A. Maybe maybe Karl, though.
12:25:42	2	Q. Okay. Who at that point, was there
12:25:46	3	any conversation that was had between any of the
12:25:49	4	officers?
12:25:50	5	A. What do you mean?
12:25:53	6	Q. Was there any sort of a conversation,
12:25:55	7	prior to getting Mr. Kistner to his feet, between
12:25:58	8	you, Mr. Schultz, Ms. McDermott, and Ms. Velez?
12:26:04	9	A. I don't know what anyone would be
12:26:07	10	talking about. I think I was more in the state of
12:26:11	11	trying to observe what was going on.
12 26:17	12	Q. Did you at all help well, you said
12:26:20	13	that you helped Mr. Kistner walk him back towards
12:26:23	14	your police vehicle, correct?
12:26:25	15	A. Yeah. Per per the video that you
12:26:28	16	guys have, yeah. That's
12:26:29	17	Q. Okay.
12:26:30	18	A. That's what I was going off of.
12:26:32	19	Q. And do you know who else was helping to
12:26:34	20	get Mr. Kistner back to the police vehicle?
12:26:35	21	A. I'd have to see the video again.
12 26:36	22	Q. Okay. Okay. Where did you put
12:26:39	23	Mr. Kistner after you walked him back to your
<u>)</u>		

12:26:42	1	police vehicle?
12:26:43	2	A. It was me and another officer. I don't
12:26:47	3	remember which one. We put him in the back of 532.
12:26:51	4	Q. Now, was he arrested at that point?
12:26:55	5	A. At at this point I don't I didn't
12:26:58	6	know. I was way too brand new.
12:27:00	7	Q. Okay.
12:27:00	8	A. I didn't I didn't know what was
12:27:01	9	going on.
12:27:04	10	Q. Now, at any point before handcuffs were
12:27:07	11	put on Mr. Kistner, was did anybody read him his
12:27:10	12	Miranda rights?
12:27:12	13	A. I don't remember.
12:27:13	14	Q. After handcuffs were put on Mr. Kistner,
12:27:16	15	did anybody read him his Miranda rights?
12:27:18	16	A. If if they didn't, I don't I
12:27:27	17	don't remember.
12:27:27	18	Q. Now, once Mr. Kistner was in the back
12:27:29	19	of your police vehicle, what were the next steps at
12:27:37	20	that point?
12:27:37	21	A. I believe I just referred to whatever
12:27:40	22	Karl Schultz was was doing.
12:27:42	23	Q. Do you recall what was done after
,		

12:27:44	1	Mr. Kistner was put in the back of the police
12:27:46	2	vehicle?
12:27:46	3	A. I don't.
12:27:49	4	Q. Was there any reason to keep him in the
12:27:51	5	back of the police vehicle rather than outside the
12:27:53	6	police vehicle?
12:27:55	7	A. I wouldn't know what the senior
12:27:57	8	officers were were thinking at the time.
12:28:00	9	Q. But as you sit here today, with the
12:28:02	10	experience that you now have, would there be any
12:28:05	11	reason to have Mr. Kistner in the back of the
12:28:06	12	police vehicle rather than outside the police
12:28:08	13	vehicle?
12:28:09	14	MS. HUGGINS: Form.
12:28:09	15	THE WITNESS: If if the officers had him
12:28:13	16	under arrest, then yeah, we would we would have
12:28:18	17	him in the back of a vehicle.
12:28:23	18	BY MR. DAVENPORT:
12:28:23	19	Q. Would there be any reason to have him
12:28:26	20	in the back of the police vehicle if he was not
12:28:27	21	arrested?
12:28:29	22	A. Yeah, you can detain people in the back
12:28:31	23	of a vehicle.

12:28:32	1	Q. And could you explain to me what is the
12:28:34	2	difference between being detained, as opposed to
12:28:36	3	arrested?
12:28:37	4	A. Someone that someone that is not
12:28:39	5	free to go and they're going to go to jail is under
12 28:42	6	arrest.
12:28:42	7	There's other times where I've detained
12:28:44	8	people to write them tickets and they're totally
12:28:48	9	free to go. You know what I mean?
12:28:51	10	They can they can still be in the back of
12:28:53	11	a patrol vehicle and not be under arrest.
12:28:55	12	Q. Now, when a person is detained, do you
12:28:57	13	have to read that person their Miranda rights?
12:29:00	14	MS. HUGGINS: Form.
12:29:00	15	THE WITNESS: No.
12:29:01	16	BY MR. DAVENPORT:
12 29:03	17	Q. Is it only when they're arrested that
12:29:05	18	you then have to read them their Miranda rights?
12:29:07	19	A. Yeah.
12:29:07	20	MS. HUGGINS: Form.
12:29:07	21	THE WITNESS: Yeah.
12:29:09	22	BY MR. DAVENPORT:
12:29:11	23	Q. Okay. Do you know at what point it

12:29:13 1	went from Mr. Kistner being detained, as opposed to
12:29:15 2	arrested?
12:29:15 3	A. I the day that I was on scene, no,
12:29:17 4	I don't.
12:29:17 5	Q. Was it on Schmarbeck?
12:29:27 6	A. I'm unsure. I can't speak for for
12:29:29 7	Lauren and and Jenny. I don't I don't know
12:29:32 8	when it is when it was that it was determined
12:29:35 9	that they were going to arrest him.
12:29:37 10	Q. Now, why do you say that it would have
12:29:39 11	been Lauren and Jenny that would have made that
12:29:42 12	determination to arrest Mr. Kistner?
12:29:43 13	MS. HUGGINS: Form.
12:29:44 14	THE WITNESS: They were directly involved
12:29:46 15	with the incident.
12:29:46 16	BY MR. DAVENPORT:
12:29:47 17	Q. Why wouldn't Karl Schultz have any sort
12:29:49 18	of a say in when Mr. Kistner was arrested?
12:29:52 19	MS. HUGGINS: Form.
12:29:53 20	THE WITNESS: The incident didn't involve
12:29:55 21	me, Karl, or our truck. It involved Jenny Velez
12:29:59 22	and Lauren and and their truck.
12:30:02 23	BY MR. DAVENPORT:

12:30:02	1	Q. Would you have been able to make the
12:30:05	2	arrest even though it did not involve your truck?
12:30:15	3	A. I wouldn't see any reason why we would
12:30:17	4	make that arrest.
12:30:18	5	MS. HUGGINS: Form.
12:30:22	6	BY MR. DAVENPORT:
12:30:22	7	Q. Now, once you got Mr. Kistner back to
12:30:25	8	your police vehicle, he was sitting down in your
12:30:29	9	police vehicle, in the back of your police vehicle;
12:30:31	10	is that correct?
12:30:31	11	A. Yes.
12:30:35	12	Q. Now, were any sort of assessments of
12:30:37	13	his physical condition made at that time?
12:30:40	14	A. I don't I don't remember.
12 30:41	15	Q. What about emotionally?
12:30:44	16	Are you obligated, as a police officer, to
12:30:45	17	check on a person emotionally when they're placed
12:30:48	18	under arrest or detained?
12:30:49	19	A. Sure.
12:30:49	20	MS. HUGGINS: Form.
12:30:50	21	BY MR. DAVENPORT:
12:30:51	22	Q. Was there anything concerning about
12:30:54	23	Mr. Kistner's emotional or psychological state at

12:31:00	1	that time?
12:31:00	2	A. Not that I not that I remember.
12:31:04	3	Q. Did Mr. Kistner say anything in the
12:31:05	4	back of the police vehicle?
12:31:07	5	A. I don't know. I think I think the
12:31:09	6.	video you guys have, we walked away from the
12:31:12	7	vehicle.
12:31:12	8	Q. Okay.
12:31:13	9	A. So I don't I don't the time that
12:31:15	10	I was in the vehicle with Karl, taking him to ECMC,
12:31:19	11	I don't even remember what was said in there.
12:31:20	12	Q. Okay. So after you walked away from
12:31:24	13	the police vehicle, where did you go next?
12:31:32	14	A. I have to refer back to the the
12:31:36	15	video.
12:31:36	16	Q. Do you remember at all where Jim's son
12:31:38	17	was at that point, or the other individual who ran
12:31:41	18	out from the same house as Jim?
12:31:43	19	MS. HUGGINS: Form.
12:31:44	20	THE WITNESS: I don't remember at this
12:31:45	21	point.
12:31:45	22	BY MR. DAVENPORT:
12:31:48	23	Q. Okay. Was there ever a concern with

12:31:50	1	this individual who ran out from Jim's house?
12:31:53	2	A. We would have to refer back to the
12 31:57	3	video.
12:31:58	4	Q. Well, I guess not
12:32:00	5	A. He he approached he approached
12:32:04	6	officers. He approached officers and wanted to
12:32:11	7	speak to us. I remember that.
12:32:17	8	And I remember for purposes of officer
12:32:19	9	safety, we made him pat down, and we gave him
12:32:23	10	a a waistband pat-down. Make sure there was
12:32:25	11	no weapons or anything.
12:32:26	12	Q. Now, when was that pat-down done?
12:32:29	13	Was it when he initially made contact with the
12 32:33	14	police officers, or was that done later, the
12:32:35	15	pat-down of this individual?
12:32:35	16	A. We'd have to look at the video.
12:32:38	17	I don't remember.
12:32:38	18	Q. Okay. So this pat-down was made
12:32:42	19	because why?
12:32:43	20	MS. HUGGINS: Form. Asked and answered.
12:32:45	21	THE WITNESS: For safety reasons.
12:32:46	22	BY MR. DAVENPORT:
12:32:46	23	Q. And those safety reasons were why?

12:32:50	1	What?
12:32:51	2	A. It's it's common that people
12:32:53	3	approach police with weapons on them.
12:32:55	4	Q. Okay. Did you have any reason to
12:32:57	5	believe that this individual approached police
12:32:59	6	officers with weapons?
12:33:00	7	A. There's no reason why I would believe
12:33:03	8	that anyone would walk up to us without weapons.
12:33:06	9	Q. There's never a time that individuals
12:33:09	10	would walk up to police officers without weapons?
12:33:11	11	MS. HUGGINS: Form.
12:33:12	12	THE WITNESS: It happens often.
12:33:13	13	BY MR. DAVENPORT:
12:33:14	14	Q. I guess I understand that it happens
12:33:16	15	often.
12:33:16	16	A. So so for for for my safety
12:33:18	17	and everyone else that's nearby, yeah, we always
12:33:21	18	or I'm not going to say always, but often we'll
12:33:24	19	we'll do pat-downs for weapons.
12:33:26	20	Q. Okay. So
12:33:27	21	A. Because even someone that's just trying
12:33:29	22	to talk to us or make a complaint, they have
12:33:32	23	weapons on them.

Moriarity - Davenport - 2/21/20

148

:		
12:33:33	1	Q. Okay. So I guess that's my question is
12:33:35	2	that I understand that, you know, for officer
12:33:38	3	safety
12:33:38	4	A. Yeah.
12:33:38	5	Q you would always want to have that
12:33:41	6	pant-down, but there are other instances where
12:33:44	7	individuals approach police officers without
12:33:45	8	weapons, correct?
12:33:46	9	A. Yeah, correct.
12:33:47	10	Q. Okay. Now, do you remember approximately
12:33:51	11	what this individual looked like that was coming
12:33:53	12	out of the house?
12:33:54	13	MS. HUGGINS: Form.
12:33:55	14	THE WITNESS: Younger, white male.
12:33:58	15	BY MR. DAVENPORT:
12:33:58	16	Q. Okay. Do you remember approximately
12:34:01	17	what his size was?
12:34:02	18	A. I don't.
12:34:05	19	Q. Okay.
12:34:07	20	A. Yeah, I don't.
12:34:08	21	Q. Okay. Was he saying anything at that
12:34:14	22	time, when he first initially came out?
12:34:19	23	A. I think he was trying to find out what

JACK W. HUNT & ASSOCIATES, INC.
1120 Liberty Building

Buffalo, New York 14202 - (716) 853-5600

1		
12:34:21	1	was going on, but I don't remember anything, what
12:34:24	2	he was saying.
12:34:24	3	Q. Okay. Do you know, was he using his
12:34:27	4	cell phone or any other sort of communicating
12:34:31	5	device?
12:34:31	6	A. I don't. I don't remember.
12:34:33	7	Q. Okay. Do you remember if he was trying
12 34:36	8	to make a call to an ambulance?
12:34:42	9	A. I don't remember if he had a phone or
12:34:44	10	not. I know that 911 calls were made.
12:34:50	11	Q. Do you remember him referring to an
12:34:52	12	ambulance being called?
12:34:53	13	A. I don't.
12:34:53	14	Q. Do you remember Jim being on the
12:34:56	15	ground, asking for an ambulance?
12:34:58	16	A. I don't.
12:34:59	17	Q. Okay. Where was that individual
12:35:04	18	standing when you performed the pat-down?
12:35:08	19	MS. HUGGINS: Form.
12:35:08	20	THE WITNESS: We'd we'd have to look at
12:35:10	21	the video that was provided.
12:35:12	22	BY MR. DAVENPORT:
12:35:12	23	Q. Okay.
}		

Q. Okay. And what would generally	consist
12:35:17 3 of that pat-down?	
12:35:19 4 MS. HUGGINS: Form.	
12:35:20 5 THE WITNESS: Just patting pattin	g down
12:35:22 6 the outside of his pants pockets, waistband	. •
12:35:27 7 Anyplace where I would think he might have	a knife
12:35:30 8 or a gun or something.	
But it was quite clear that, after t	he
12:35:33 10 pat-down, he didn't I mean, he didn't ha	ve
12:35:35 11 anything. I don't remember, but maybe he j	ust
wanted to find out what was going on.	
BY MR. DAVENPORT:	
Q. If an individual went into c	lose to
12:35:46 15 police officers and then walked away from t	hose
12:35:48 16 police officers and tried to go back into t	heir
12:35:50 17 home, would there be any reason to begin, c	ommence
12:35:55 18 a pat-down of that individual?	
MS. HUGGINS: Form.	
12:35:58 20 THE WITNESS: Can you	
MR. DAVENPORT: So if I'm sorry.	You can
12:36:03 22 strike that.	
12:36:04 23 If if an individual approached po	olice

12:36:06	1	officers and then walked away from those police
12:36:08	2	officers, and then he tried to go back into the
12:36:10	3	house where he came from, would there be any reason
12:36:14	4	to do a pat-down of that individual? Bring him
12:36:17	5	back out, away from the house?
12:36:18	6	MS. HUGGINS: Form.
12:36:19	7	THE WITNESS: He could have he could have
12:36:22	8	said something that one of the officers might have
12:36:24	9	wanted to investigate further.
12:36:26	10	BY MR. DAVENPORT:
12:36:26	11	Q. And what kind of
12:36:27	12	A. And then
12:36:32	13	Q things would those have been?
12:36:34	14	MS. HUGGINS: Form.
12:36:36	15	THE WITNESS: Could be tons of things.
12:36:38	16	I don't want to speculate what other officers heard
12:36:41	17	or what judgment calls or decisions they were
12:36:43	18	making.
12:36:43	19	BY MR. DAVENPORT:
12:36:43	20	Q. If that individual was saying that he
12:36:45	21	was going to call an ambulance, would that be
12:36:46	22	a reason to do a pat-down of that individual?
12:36:48	23	MS. HUGGINS: Form.

JACK W. HUNT & ASSOCIATES, INC.
1120 Liberty Building

12:36:48	1	THE WITNESS: No.
12 36:49	2	BY MR. DAVENPORT:
12:36:49	3	Q. Okay. So after the pat-down was done,
12:36:53	4	what would be the next steps?
12:36:55	5	Would you have to get background information
12:36:58	6	for that individual?
12:37:03	7	A. You know, you're I mean, it's not
12:37:05	8	required. Maybe like maybe we're just having
12:37:07	9	the conversation that he wanted to have now, now
12:37:10	10	that we know that the scene is safer.
12:37:12	11	Q. So after having that conversation to
12 37:16	12	have that conversation with somebody, would you
12:37:18	13	also want to verify any sort of identification or
12:37:21	14	anything like that?
12:37:23	15	A. I mean, you might want to, you might
12:37:26	16	not want to.
12:37:27	17	Q. What would be the reasons that you
12:37:29	18	would want to verify the identification?
12:37:31	19	MS. HUGGINS: Form.
12:37:31	20	THE WITNESS: Maybe his who he's claiming
12:37:36	21	to be is relevant to the scene that we were at.
12:37:40	22	Maybe for example, if he told us that
12 37:45	23	Mr. Kistner was his father, maybe I didn't or,

12:37:47	1	you know, no one needed to really look into him
12:37:53	2	being who he says. Like it's: Okay. You're his
12:37:57	3	son. We believe you.
12:37:59	4	BY MR. DAVENPORT:
12:38:01	5	Q. Was anything said to him about where
12:38:03	6	his father was going or how his father was doing?
12:38:06	7	A. I don't I don't remember.
12:38:07	8	Q. Okay. Was there anything that was said
12:38:11	9	to this individual, whether he could go speak to
12:38:14	10	his father or not?
12:38:18	11	Would there be any reason why a police
12:38:20	12	officer would allow a son to speak with his
12:38:22	13	officer? I I got
12:38:23	14	MS. HUGGINS: Form. Now that's two
12:38:26	15	questions in a row.
12:38:27	16	THE WITNESS: If if I was present for
12:38:28	17	that conversation, I don't remember. Sometimes we
12:38:32	18	do let people speak to subjects in the back of our
12:38:38	19	patrol vehicle, other times we don't.
12:38:40	20	BY MR. DAVENPORT:
12:38:40	21	Q. Do you remember if you let this
12:38:41	22	individual speak to the individual in the back of
12:38:42	23	your police vehicle?

12:38:43 1	A. It was never asked of me, that
12:38:45 2	I remember. If it was asked of me, I deferred
12:38:50 3	to senior officers.
12:38:53 4	Q. Do you have any reason to believe that
12:38:54 5	this individual did speak to the Mr. Kistner in
12:38:57 6	the back of the police vehicle?
12:38:59 7	A. I don't want to speculate if he did or
12:39:02 8	didn't. It was never anything that was mentioned
12:39:06 9	to me that I remember, and I would have deferred.
12:39:08 10	Q. Okay. Do you remember seeing that
12:39:10 11	individual at all after leaving Schmarbeck that
12:39:16 12	day?
12:39:16 13	A. I don't think I've had a run-in with
12:39:20 14	Mr. Kistner or his son since that day.
12:39:21 15	MR. DAVENPORT: Okay.
12:39:21 16	(Discussion off the record.)
12:39:21 17	BY MR. DAVENPORT:
12:39:35 18	Q. So when you say run-ins with Mr. Kistner,
12:39:38 19	does that pertain to just calls, or does that
12:39:41 20	pertain to any times that you may have seen him on
12:39:43 21	the street when you weren't necessarily responding
12:39:45 22	to a call?
12:39:46 23	MS. HUGGINS: Form.
*	

12:39:46	1	THE WITNESS: What I mean by that is by
12:39:49	2	a run-in is I don't think I've ever seen him
12:39:52	3	outside of that call, period. I don't think I've
12:39:57	4	ever seen him in a store, no other calls. Yeah,
12:40:03	5	I don't think I've ever seen him or his son since.
12:40:07	6	BY MR. DAVENPORT:
12:40:08	7	Q. Now, did you have any conversations
12:40:09	8	with anybody about this incident on January 1st of
12:40:15	9	2017?
12:40:15	10	MS. HUGGINS: Well
12:40:16	11	THE WITNESS: Her and
12:40:18	12	MS. HUGGINS: He's asking outside of me.
12:40:20	13	THE WITNESS: Oh, outside of Karl and
12:40:24	14	Jenny and Lauren.
12:40:28	15	BY MR. DAVENPORT:
12:40:28	16	Q. Did you ever have any conversations
12:40:29	17	with your lieutenant, McHugh?
12:40:31	18	A. I did not.
12:40:32	19	Q. Okay. Do you know if anybody had
12:40:34	20	conversations with Lieutenant McHugh?
12:40:35	21	A. I believe someone on scene made
12:40:38	22	a phone call to him, but I don't remember which
12:40:40	23	officer that was.

JACK W. HUNT & ASSOCIATES, INC.
1120 Liberty Building

12:40:44	1	Q. Do you remember, besides January 1st of
12:40:47	2	2017, any of the officers that were on scene having
12:40:50	3	a conversation with Lieutenant McHugh about the
12:40:52	4	incident on January 1st of 2017?
12:40:53	5	A. Not that I was present for.
12:40:54	6	Q. What about other senior officers? Any
12:40:58	7	captains or anybody higher than lieutenant?
12:41:01	8	A. Definitely not while I was present for.
12:41:04	9	Q. Have you ever been investigated by
12:41:05	10	internal affairs?
12:41:06	11	MS. HUGGINS: Form, and 50-a objection, to
12:41:10	12	the extent that applies. You can answer.
12:41:11	13	THE WITNESS: Okay. No suspensions or
12:41:14	14	anything like that, no.
12:41:15	15	BY MR. DAVENPORT:
12:41:16	16	Q. Have you ever been had a discussion
12:41:18	17	with internal affairs about January 1st of 2017?
12:41:21	18	A. No.
12:41:24	19	Q. When was the first time that you were
12:41:27	20	aware that a lawsuit had been commenced against
12 41:31	21	you?
12:41:31	22	A. I believe I saw it on the news.
12:41:32	23	Q. That was the first time?
÷ i		

12:41:33	1	A.	Yes.
12:41:34	2	Q.	Did you receive a summons or a complaint?
12:41:38	3	Α.	I received some type of packet that I was
12:41:43	4	served with	n that I gave to our union.
12:41:46	5	Q.	Okay. When, approximately, did you
12:41:50	6	receive tha	at packet?
12:41:50	7	Α.	Or I'm I'm sorry. I received the
12:41:52	8	packet befo	ore I saw it on the news, but yeah, I'm
12:41:55	9	sorry, yeah	n, I remember, yeah, I received the
12:41:59	10	packet firs	st. That's when I knew that this whole
12:42:02	11	thing was t	caking place, and then I saw it on on
12:42:05	12	the news.	
12:42:05	13	Q.	Okay. Okay.
12:42:06	14	Α.	Yeah.
12:42:07	15	Q.	Did you happen to look at any of the
12:42:09	16	materials t	that were in that packet?
12:42:10	17	Α.	I read it over with a union rep.
12:42:12	18	Q.	Okay. When, approximately, did that
12:42:15	19	take place	?
12:42:17	20	A.	I don't I don't remember exactly
12:42:21	21	when I was	served with those papers.
12:42:23	22	Q.	Okay. So if you were served with those
12:42:26	23	papers in 2	2018, would it have been during that year
Ť			

	1	
12:42:29	1	that you sat down with the union rep?
12:42:34	2	A. I like I said, I don't remember the
12:42:36	3	date that I was served the
12:42:40	4	Q. Sure.
12:42:41	5	A the papers. I either gave the union
12:42:43	6	rep the papers the day of or the day after I was
12:42:46	7	served with those papers.
12:42:47	8	Q. Okay. And when you gave the papers to
12:42:50	9	that union rep, did you sit down that day?
12:42:53	10	A. Yeah.
12:42:54	11	Q. Okay. What did the union rep say to
12:42:57	12	you?
12:42:57	13	A. He said he he gave me her phone
12:43:00	14	number and that someone would be contacting me
12:43:03	15	about it, but he didn't he didn't really say
12:43:06	16	say much.
12:43:07	17	Q. Okay. Now, did you reach out to
12:43:10	18	Ms. Huggins, or did Ms. Huggins reach out to you
12:43:12	19	first?
12:43:13	20	MS. HUGGINS: Well, form. I I don't know
12:43:15	21	why you're inquiring into contact between counsel
12:43:17	22	and client.
12:43:18	23	MR. DAVENPORT: Well, I just want to know,

12:43:19	1	you know, after he received these papers, you know,
12:43:21	2	what were the next steps that you took?
12:43:23	3	Did you reach out to your attorney, or did
12:43:25	4	you wait for your attorney to contact you?
12:43:27	5	MS. HUGGINS: Again
12:43:27	6	MR. DAVENPORT: You you can answer,
12:43:28	7	because I'm not asking what the substance was.
12:43:30	8	I'm just asking when you contacted whether you
12:43:33	9	contacted Ms. Huggins or whether she contacted you.
12:43:36	10	That's not attorney-compliant privilege.
12:43:38	11	I'm just merely asking when he contacted you or you
12:43:41	12	contacted him. I don't want to know
12:43:41	13	MS. HUGGINS: I'm asking for the basis of
12:43:43	14	inquiry into contact with counsel, and I'm allowed
12:43:46	15	to ask the basis of
12:43:46	16	MR. DAVENPORT: Are you going are you
1	17	going to direct him to not answer the question?
12:43:48	18	MS. HUGGINS: I just inquired the basis of
12:43:50	19	your question. That's what
12:43:53	20	MR. DAVENPORT: Well, I'm asking because
12:43:53	21	MS. HUGGINS: I just did.
12:43:53	22	THE REPORTER: Don't talk over each other,
12:43:53	23	please.

12:43:53	1	MR. DAVENPORT: Okay.
12:43:53	2	So what I'm asking is: Why or if he
12:43:57	3	received these papers and if he discussed them with
12:44:00	4	the union rep, what was his concern level?
12:44:02	5	I want to know his concern level with what
12:44:04	6	happened.
12:44:04	7	MS. HUGGINS: So that was not your question.
12:44:06	8	MR. DAVENPORT: Well, I know, it's
12:44:06	9	it's
12:44:06	10	MS. HUGGINS: You can pose
12:44:07	11	MR. DAVENPORT: different, but
12:44:08	12	MS. HUGGINS: You can pose your question to
12:44:09	13	him.
12:44:09	14	MR. DAVENPORT: It's certainly relevant to
12:44:12	15	that. It's relevant to that inquiry.
12:44:14	16	MS. HUGGINS: Sir, I objected to a totally
12:44:16	17	different question that veers on attorney-client
12:44:19	18	privilege. You have now posed a different question.
12:44:21	19	You can ask that of the witness, but
12:44:23	20	I am allowed to ask the basis of your question for
12:44:26	21	an objection. That's that's all I'm doing.
12:44:28	22	You may ask.
12:44:28	23	BY MR. DAVENPORT:

Moriarity - Davenport - 2/21/20

161

12:44:29	1	Q. You can answer.
12:44:29	2	A. I received a court notification
12:44:32	3	by the court liaison.
12:44:34	4	Q. Okay. So when did you receive that
12:44:36	5	court notification?
12:44:37	6	A. There would be records of it somewhere,
12:44:40	7	but no, I don't I don't remember.
12:44:42	8	Q. Okay.
12:44:43	9	A. There's definitely records of it at
12:44:46	10	City Court or something.
12:44:47	11	Q. Okay. So now when you reached out to
12:44:49	12	that court liaison
12:44:50	13	A. No, no. They
12:44:52	14	Q. Or they they reached out to you.
12:44:54	15	A. Yeah.
12:44:54	16	Q. Okay. Or when you got notification of
12:44:55	17	that court liaison, had you contacted an attorney
12:44:58	18	at that point?
12:44:58	19	A. No.
12:44:59	20	Q. Okay.
12:45:00	21	A. No.
12:45:00	22	Q. Was it after you received that
12:45:02	23	notification?

JACK W. HUNT & ASSOCIATES, INC.
1120 Liberty Building

12:45:03	MS. HUGGINS: Form.
12:45:04	BY MR. DAVENPORT:
12:45:05	Q. That you contacted an attorney?
12:45:06	A. I never contacted an attorney.
12:45:07	Q. Okay. Okay. So did you watch the
12:45:14	video when you received that packet?
12:45:16	A. No. The video wasn't provided at that
12:45:19	d time.
12:45:20	Q. Okay. You didn't receive any exhibits
12:45:25 10	or anything else besides that initial complaint in
12:45:28 1	that packet?
12:45:29 12	A. No. The packet was it was pretty
12:45:35 1	thick. There was like a list of a list of
12:45:39 14	charges in there. If there were if there were
12:45:41 1	exhibits in there, I don't remember I don't
12:45:45 1	remember reading reading that or or or it
12:45:48 1	being a topic of discussion with the union rep.
12:45:51 1	Q. Okay. What kinds of things did you
12 45:56 1	discuss with the union rep about the complaint?
12:45:57 2	A. It was along the lines of me handing
12:46:00 2	him the packet. He flipped through it a couple
12:46:05 2	times and just said, just wait for court to notify
12:46:09 2	you. And then that's what I did.
7	

12:46:13	1	Q. Were you ever did you ever have
12:46:15	2	another discussion with that union rep?
12:46:17	3	A. No.
12:46:25	4	Q. How long, approximately, was that
12:46:27	5	initial meeting with the union rep?
12:46:32	6	A. It was a pretty informal thing. It
12:46:37	7	wasn't long. Five minutes or so for him to just
12:46:41	8	skim through it.
12:46:41	9	Q. Okay.
12:46:43	10	A. And I went on my way.
12:46:45	11	Q. Have you had to meet with that union
12:46:46	12	rep or any other union reps for any other lawsuits
12:46:49	13	that were commenced against you?
12:46:50	14	A. No.
12:46:51	15	Q. Okay. Is this the only lawsuit that
12:46:54	16	has ever been commenced against you?
12:46:56	17	A. Yeah. Yes.
12:46:57	18	Q. Okay. When was the next time that you
12:46:58	19	spoke to somebody about this lawsuit?
12:47:02	20	A. Whenever we have court notifications to
12:47:05	21	talk to her. I might have talked to Karl about it
12:47:15	22	two or three times, but it's not a huge discussion.
12:47:21	23	Q. Okay.

12:47:22	1	A. You know, me and Karl are on two
12:47:25	2	different shifts, and we talk about two different
12:47:28	3	things.
12:47:28	4	Q. Okay. So when you say two different
12:47:31	5	shifts, are you referring to like maybe
12:47:33	6	A. I'm I'm sorry. He's on he's on
12:47:35	7	day shift and I'm on afternoons.
12:47:36	8	Q. Okay.
12:47:37	9	A. So we don't we don't really cross
12:47:39	10	paths as much.
12:47:41	11	Q. Sure.
12:47:41	12	So after that first initial 16 weeks, did
12:47:44	13	you automatically go to the afternoon shift?
12:47:46	14	A. Yes.
12:47:46	15	Q. Okay. So the only time that you would
12:47:50	16	have seen Karl Schultz, would that be typically
12:47:52	17	during briefing
12 47:53	18	MS. HUGGINS: Form.
12:47:54	19	BY MR. DAVENPORT:
12:47:54	20	Q before you started the afternoon
12:47:55	21	shift?
12:47:55	22	A. No. I went to so when he was
12:48:01	23	working, I was on my off days, and then when I was
,		

working, he was on his off days, so I didn't really
12:48:08 2 see him too much in Charlie.

He transferred to Bravo, and then I went to Delta for a little bit and came back, and he was still in Bravo.

- Q. Okay.
- A. And now we're -- we're both in Bravo, and I don't see him too often because he's usually on calls when I'm in briefing.
- Q. Okay. Were you involved in the criminal proceedings at all against Mr. Kistner?
- A. I don't remember if I was notified to go to court for that.
- Q. Okay. Which officers are typically notified to go to court for criminal proceedings?
- A. The arresting and assisting officers. It could also be whoever is on the case history, but they don't always notify everyone in.
 - Q. Okay.
- A. And I don't really know how that's determined.
 - Q. Okay.
 - A. But definitely the arresting officer.

- 12:48:08 2 12:48:11 3 12:48:13 4
- 12:48:16 6

12;48:15 5

- 12:48:17 **7**12:48:19 **8**
- 12:48:22 9
- 12:48:32 12
- 12 48:35 13
- 12:48:36 14
- 12:48:40 15
- 12:48:42 16
- 12:48:44 17
- 12:48:49 18
- 12:48:53 19
- 12:48:55 20
- 12:48:57 21
- 12:48:57 22
- 12:48:58 23

12:49:01	1	Q. What would be the circumstances where
12:49:03	2	an assisting officer would be required to go into
12:49:07	3	court for a court proceeding a criminal
12:49:09	4	proceeding?
12:49:09	5	MS. HUGGINS: Form.
12:49:12	6	THE WITNESS: I don't know the requirements
12:49:15	7	for an assisting officer because on on an arrest
12:49:20	8	form, there's the arresting officer and assisting
12:49:23	9	officer, and they've gone sometimes and not
12:49:27]	10	notified the assisting officer and notified way
12:49:31 1	11	down the case history list, other people. So I'm
12:49:34]	12	not I'm not sure how that is determined.
12:49:36 1	L3	BY MR. DAVENPORT:
12:49:36 1	L4	Q. Okay. Now, after you received that
12:49:42 1	15	initial packet, when did you first watch the video
12:49:47 1	16	that was provided as part of the complaint?
12 49:53 1	17	A. One of the times I met with my
12:49:55 1	18	attorney, and I don't know which time that was.
12:49:57	19	Q. Okay. Okay. Do you know if it was
12:50:01 2	20	shortly after the lawsuit was commenced?
12:50:04 2	21	A. I don't know.
12:50:05 2	22	Q. Okay. Do you know, was it within
12:50:07 2	23	a year of the lawsuit being commenced?

I don't remember the time that my first 12:50:15 Α. court notification was with my attorney and the 12:50:18 12:50:22 3 time that I received the packet. How do you receive those court 12:50:26 4 Q. 12:50:28 5 notifications? 12:50:28 6 Α. They're given to our -- our stationhouse, 12:50:31 7 and then a report technician will contact us via phone or -- I'm sorry -- there's -- depending on 12:50:36 8 the stationhouse, they might just put your 12:50:41 9 notification in your -- your mailbox. Other ones 12:50:44 10 it's in a binder and you have to check yourself. 12:50:46 11 Okay. Do you know where those court 12:50:48 12 Q. notifications come from? 12:50:51 13 The Court Liaison Bureau. 12:50:52 14 Α. Okay. Do you have records of those 12:50:54 15 Q. court notifications from the liaison? 12:50:57 16 12:51:01 17 I usually throw mine out once the court date is over. I mean, they -- I don't know if they 12:51:05 18 keep it or not or how long they would keep it. 12:51:11 19 Do you know, is the court liaison, is 12:51:13 20 Q. 12:51:17 21 that somebody affiliated with the courts or the Buffalo Police Department? 12:51:18 22

Courts, and then it goes to BPD.

12:51:21 23

Α.

12:51:23	1	Q. Okay. Do you know who that individual
12:51:25	2	is for the court liaison?
12:51:27	3	A. I don't, actually.
12:51:28	4	Q. Okay. How often did you receive
12:51:32	5	notifications from the court liaison?
12:51:33	6	A. It depends on how frequently you arrest
12:51:36	7	or you're you're needed in in court.
12:51:40	8	Q. Okay. Pertaining to this lawsuit,
12:51:45	9	how how often were you notified by the court
12:51:47	10	liaison?
12:51:49	11	MS. HUGGINS: Form. And, again, to the
12:51:52	12	extent that you're going into contact he's had with
12:51:55	13	counsel, I mean
12:51:55	14	MR. DAVENPORT: I'm not.
12:51:56	15	MS. HUGGINS: that would be privileged.
12:51:57	16	MR. DAVENPORT: Well, no. Not
12:51:58	17	MS. HUGGINS: He's already indicated that
12:52:00	18	that's
12:52:00	19	MR. DAVENPORT: Not the times that he's had
12:52:01	20	contact with you. What is said during that contact
12:52:03	21	is absolutely privileged. I am not asking that.
12:52:05	22	I'm just simply asking: Pertaining to this
12:52:08	23	lawsuit, how many notifications did you receive

```
from the court liaison?
12:52:10
                  And if you want to arque that that's
       2
12:52:11
          privileged, you can make that argument, but he can
12:52:12 3
          answer it, and then you can make that objection
12:52:15 4
          later, and it can be struck from the record.
12:52:17 5
12:52:19 6
                  So you may answer.
12:52:20
                  MS. HUGGINS: Well, that's not how
          objections work. If something is privileged,
12:52:22 8
          that's an objection that is preserved and he would
12:52:24 9
          not answer during a deposition.
12:52:27 10
                  MR. DAVENPORT: Are you going to direct him
12:52:28 11
          to not answer?
12:52:29 12
                  MS. HUGGINS: Sir, you can ask that
12:52:30 13
          question, but I object to the -- to the extent that
12:52:32 14
          you're veering towards that.
12:52:35 15
                  He's already indicated that court liaison is
12:52:37 16
          the mechanism by which counsel directs him to come
12:52:40 17
          meet with him.
12:52:44 18
                  MR. DAVENPORT: And I just asked him how
12:52:45 19
          many times he received that notification from the
12:52:47 20
          court liaison for this case.
12:52:48 21
12:52:52 22
                  You can answer.
                 MS. HUGGINS: You may answer.
12:52:55 23
```

12:52:56 1	THE WITNESS: I think two or three.
12:52:57 2	BY MR. DAVENPORT:
12:52:58 3	Q. Okay. Would those have been the only
12:53:01 4	times that you would have met with Ms. Huggins?
12:53:04 5	A. Yep. Yes.
12 53:05 6	Q. Okay. When, approximately, was that
12:53:09 7	first notification?
12:53:10 8	Was it recently or was it a while ago?
12:53:12 9	MS. HUGGINS: Form. Asked and answered.
12:53:14 10	THE WITNESS: No. That would have been
12:53:16 11	a while ago.
12:53:17 12	BY MR. DAVENPORT:
12:53:18 13	Q. Like more than a year ago?
12:53:19 14	A. I don't I don't remember.
12:53:21 15	Q. Okay. When was the second time that
12:53:26 16	you received that notification?
12:53:29 17	A. I don't I don't remember I don't
12:53:38 18	remember. I know I saw her last week
12:53:40 19	Q. Okay.
12:53:41 20	A for something.
12:53:41 21	Q. Did you receive a notification from the
12:53:43 22	court liaison?
12:53:44 23	A. Yeah.
1	

12:53:44 1	Q. Okay. So one of one of the three
12:53:46 2	times that you received a notification was for this
12:53:48 3	deposition?
12:53:49 4	A. Like I like I said, I don't remember
12:53:51 5	if it was two or three times, but I know I saw her
12:53:55 6	like last week, yeah.
12:53:56 7	Q. Okay. When was the first time that you
12:53:59 8	watched the video with your attorney or with
12:54:04 9	anybody else affiliated with the City of Buffalo
12:54:08 10	Law Department?
12:54:00 10	A. I think it was the I think it was
	the first time.
12:54:18 13	Q. Okay. Now, at that time, do you know
12:54:28 14	if the second notification that you received, did
12:54:33 15	you have to sign a verification at all?
12:54:37 16	MS. HUGGINS: Form.
12:54:37 17	THE WITNESS: What do you mean? That
12:54:39 18	I showed up to court?
12:54:40 19	BY MR. DAVENPORT:
12:54:41 20	Q. For the interrogatories that you
12 54:42 21	referred to earlier, the thicker packet that you
12:54:45 22	reviewed that you said that, you know, wasn't one
12:54:48 23	of the four documents in front of you?

12:54:56	1	I'm sorry.
12:54:56	2	MS. HUGGINS: There might just be
12:54:58	3	confusion
12:54:58	4	MR. DAVENPORT: Yeah.
12:54:58	5	THE WITNESS: Yeah.
12:54:58	6	MS. HUGGINS: between the complaint and
12:54:59	7	interrogatories.
12:55:00	8	MR. DAVENPORT: No. No. I know. I know.
12:55:00	9	MS. HUGGINS: Yeah.
12:55:01	10	MR. DAVENPORT: I understand.
12:55:01	11	THE WITNESS: I don't
12:55:02	12	MR. DAVENPORT: All right. So
12:55:02	13	MS. HUGGINS: It's your examination, but,
12:55:04	14	I mean, if I can help clear it up, I will.
12:55:08	15	MR. DAVENPORT: Here you go.
12 55:11	16	Can we have this exhibit marked, please?
12:55:11	17	The following was marked for Identification:
ı	18	EXH. 23 Verification page
12:55:11	19	BY MR. DAVENPORT:
12:55:53	20	Q. So I'm now showing you what has been
12:55:56	21	marked as Exhibit 23. Do you recognize that
12:55:58	22	document?
12:56:03	23	A. Yes.

Buffalo, New York 14202 - (716) 853-5600

12:56:03	1	Q. Okay. Do you recall, was that document
12:56:09	2	given to you through the mail?
12:56:14	3	Was it given to you in person?
12:56:15	4	A. In person.
12:56:15	5	Q. Okay. And who gave you that document?
12:56:18	6	A. I don't know the dude's name. I've
12:56:23	7	never
12:56:24	8	Q. Okay.
12:56:24	9	A. Yeah.
12:56:24	10	Q. Okay.
12:56:24	11	MS. HUGGINS: I think there's confusion
12:56:26	12	about what we're talking about right now.
12:56:27	13	MR. DAVENPORT: Sure. No. That no.
12:56:28	14	I understand. But he said that he recalled that
12:56:29	15	document, and he said he has seen it and that he
12:56:32	16	received it from some individual, so I'm just
12:56:34	17	asking questions about that document.
12:56:37	18	THE WITNESS: Was this part this was part
12:56:40	19	of the packet, right, that I
12:56:41	20	MS. HUGGINS: Yeah, I think there's
12:56:44	21	confusion.
12:56:44	22	THE WITNESS: that I was served?
12:56:44	23	MS. HUGGINS: He's confused about the

12:56:45	1	summons and complaint
12:56:45	2	MR. DAVENPORT: I'll I'll clarify it.
12:56:46	3	MS. HUGGINS: versus this.
12:56:46	4	MR. DAVENPORT: I'll clarify it. Thank you.
12:56:49	5	So this exhibit has been marked as Exhibit
12:56:51	6	number 13. Do you recognize that document?
12:56:56	7	THE WITNESS: Yeah, I believe this is what
12:56:58	8	I was served with at my front doorstep, I think.
12:57:02	9	BY MR. DAVENPORT:
12:57:02	10	Q. Okay. So do you see in bold lettering
12:57:08	11	what that says?
12:57:09	12	A. Right here?
12:57:10	13	Q. Yes.
12:57:11	14	A. Yeah. Answer to first interrogatories
12:57:13	15	to defendants.
12:57:14	16	Q. And, Mr. Moriarity, are you familiar
12:57:17	17	with what a complaint is?
12:57:19	18	A. Yeah. Someone makes a complaint on
12:57:22	19	you.
12:57:22	20	Q. Like a a lawsuit complaint
12:57:24	21	A. Okay.
12:57:24	22	Q is part of a legal proceeding; do
12:57:28	23	you know what that is?
a*		

12:57:28 1	A. If you want to explain it to me so
12:57:31 2	I understand
12:57:31 3	Q. Yeah. Yeah.
12:57:31 4	A better.
12:57:32 5	Q. Of course. Of course.
12:57:33 6	All right. So a legal complaint that
12:57:34 7	initiates the action, that would have been what you
12:57:37 8	had received on your front doorstep, that would
12:57:39 9	have been served to you, correct?
12 57:40 10	A. Okay. Yeah.
12:57:41 11	Q. So now what I'm asking is: These are
12:57:44 12	called interrogatories, so these probably would
12:57:48 13	have been a second document, a thicker document
12:57:51 14	that you would have reviewed.
12:57:52 15	A. Oh, okay. Okay.
12:57:54 16	Q. So now I guess what my question is:
12:57:58 17	Did you receive that thick packet and then later
12:58:02 18	receive another criminal or legal document that
12:58:06 19	kind of looks something like this?
12 58:09 20	MS. HUGGINS: Form.
12:58:09 21	THE WITNESS: I received this later then.
12:58:13 22	BY MR. DAVENPORT:
12:58:13 23	Q. Okay. So it would have been something

12:58:14 1	that was received later?
12:58:15 2	A. Yeah.
12:58:16 3	Q. Okay. Now, was that received with this
12:58:19 4	verification?
12:58:22 5	MS. HUGGINS: Form.
12:58:23 6	THE WITNESS: That, I don't remember.
12:58:25 7	I mean, I I know that this is my signature.
12:58:28	BY MR. DAVENPORT:
12:58:28 9	Q. Okay.
12:58:28 10	A. And I'm not disputing that.
12:58:31 11	Q. No. No. Of course. Of course.
12:58:32 12	A. I don't remember when
12:58:33 13	Q. Do you remember if this verification
12 58:34 14	was given with anything else? Any other documents
12:58:38 15	that would have been handed to you at that time?
12:58:41 16	MS. HUGGINS: Form.
12:58:41 17	THE WITNESS: I no, I I don't I
12:58:49 18	don't remember.
12:58:49 19	BY MR. DAVENPORT:
12:58:49 20	Q. Okay. I'm going to direct your
12:58:55 21	attention to page 4.
12:58:58 22	A. Page 4?
12:58:59 23	Q. Or let's see here.
{	

12:59:03	1	Excuse me. Page 5. And I want you to go to
12:59:06	2	demand number 2.
12:59:07	. 3	Now, that that interrogatory says,
12:59:10	4	identify the police officers shown in the video
12:59:13	5	attached as Exhibit A to the complaint.
12:59:14	6	Now, if I represent to you that Exhibit A to
12:59:17	7	the complaint is those four video segments that you
12:59:19	8	said that you have watched, are you able to
12:59:23	9	identify who those police officers are by watching
12:59:27	10	the video?
12:59:28	11	MS. HUGGINS: Form.
12:59:30	12	THE WITNESS: Some of them, yeah.
12:59:32	13	BY MR. DAVENPORT:
12:59:32	14	Q. Okay. Who were you able to identify
12:59:35	15	when watching the video?
12:59:37	16	MS. HUGGINS: Form.
12:59:37	17	THE WITNESS: Karl. And then the other two,
12:59:43	18	Lauren and Jenny, I would get confused.
12:59:45	19	BY MR. DAVENPORT:
12:59:45	20	Q. Okay. But you knew that they were
12:59:48	21	there?
12:59:48	22	A. I knew that they were there, yeah.
12:59:50	23	Q. Okay. Did you know did you watch
}		

ļ	
1	there was a fourth video segment that was provided?
2	A. I don't remember which video was the
3	fourth.
4	Q. Okay. Did you watch a video segment
5	where there was a fifth police officer on scene?
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. And did you know, when watching that
8	video, who that individual officer was?
9	A. No. I I I forgot who showed up
10	on scene.
11	Q. Okay. Okay.
12	A. And when the video was replayed, it was
13	also what the the department refers to as
14	double-up day, so both shifts are working, and
15	I don't remember who all was on those shifts
16	because people get promoted or transferred.
17	Q. Okay. Now, have you later learned who
18	that fifth individual was?
19	A. Yes.
20	Q. Was that individual's name David
21	Santana?
22	A. Yes.
23	Q. Now, is that somebody that you had
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13

Buffalo, New York 14202 - (716) 853-5600

	i	
13:00:35	1	worked with before?
13:00:37	2	A. Yeah, he was. And like I said, I'm
13:00:40	3	about a week old on here.
13:00:42	. 4	Q. Okay.
13:00:42	5	A. So I had just basically met him.
13:00:46	6	Q. Okay.
13:00:48	7	A. So I I did forget that he was even
13 00:51	8	on scene, but then looking at the video, I mean, it
13:00:55	9	kind of took a little bit to realize it was him.
13:01:01	10	Q. So David Santana, is that somebody that
13:01:05	11	you worked with after the incident on January 1st
13:01:08	12	of 2017?
13:01:08	13	A. Only the 16 weeks
13:01:10	14	Q. Okay.
13:01:10	15	A that I worked with him.
13:01:12	16	Q. Okay. So he would have been somebody
13:01:16	17	that worked on a different shift or a different
13 01:18	18	A. He he would have been the same
13:01:20	19	shift.
13:01:21	20	Q. He would have been the same shift, but
13:01:23	21	would he also work the same days that you work?
13:01:26	22	A. The same, yep.
13:01:26	23	Q. Okay.
Ś		

13:01:26 1 Α. Same days. Okay. Do you recall from the video 13:01:28 whether he was driving with somebody or whether he 3 13:01:30 was by himself? 13:01:32 4 Α. I don't. 13:01:32 5 Q. Okay. If I represented to you that he 13:01:33 13:01:37 was driving by himself, would you have any reason 13:01:39 8 to dispute that? MS. HUGGINS: Form. 13:01:40 9 THE WITNESS: No, but again, I mean, I don't 13:01:43 10 know what other people were doing. 13:01:45 11 BY MR. DAVENPORT: 13:01:47 12 No. Sure. Sure. 13 01:48 13 Q. Yeah. 13:01:49 14 Α. Would there be any reason why he would 13:01:49 15 Q. be driving a Dodge Charger or a Charger rather than 13:01:51 16 the Chevy Tahoe that you and the other car were 13:01:56 17 driving? 13:02:01 18 Could be a number of reasons. I don't A. 13:02:02 19 know what the car situation was back then. 13:02:03 20 MR. DAVENPORT: Okay. Okay. So if we could 13:02:06 21 13;02:11 22 just go to the video.

JACK W. HUNT & ASSOCIATES, INC.
1120 Liberty Building

13:02:12 23

And then we can go off the record really

13:02:14 1	quickly.
2	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Sure.
3	MR. DAVENPORT: Okay.
4	THE WITNESS: And can we take a break?
. 5	MR. DAVENPORT: Yeah. Yeah. Of course.
6	Of course.
7	(A recess was then taken at 1:02 p.m.)
13:16:28 8	(On the record at 1:16 p.m.)
13:16:28 9	MR. DAVENPORT: So, now, Mr. Moriarity, we
13:16:31 10	are going to watch the third video segment that has
13:16:33 11	been provided by the plaintiff during discovery.
13:16:36 12	The last four digits of that video are 2529.
13:16:36 13	Now can we please direct the camera towards
13:16:36 14	the TV screen?
13:16:52 15	Perfect. Thank you.
13:16:54 16	So before we start the video, where is
13:16:58 17	the is there an individual that is standing in
13:17:00 18	the street?
13:17:00 19	THE WITNESS: Yes.
13:17:02 20	BY MR. DAVENPORT:
13:17:02 21	Q. Where is that individual standing?
13:17:05 22	MS. HUGGINS: Form.
13:17:07 23	THE WITNESS: From the video, in the middle

13:17:10	of the street.
13:17:10	BY MR. DAVENPORT:
13:17:10	Q. Okay. Is he standing in front of your
13:17:13	police vehicle or the other police vehicle?
13:17:19	A. It looks like from the video's
13:17:21	perspective, in front of my vehicle.
13:17:27	Q. Okay. What direction is this
13:17:28	individual facing?
13:17:29	A. South.
13:17:30 10	Q. Okay. And is that just based off of
13:17:33 1	your recollection of Schmarbeck Avenue, or is that
13:17:36 12	based off of any reference point on the video that
13 17:41 13	you know that that direction he's facing is south?
13:17:43 14	A. No. It's based off of my knowledge of
13:17:45 15	C District streets.
13:17:46 16	Q. Okay. And what direction is your car
13:17:49 17	facing?
13:17:50 18	A. North.
13:17:51 19	MR. DAVENPORT: Okay. Now I'm going to play
13:17:54 20	the video.
13:18:08 21	(Video clip played.)
13:18:08 22	BY MR. DAVENPORT:
13:18:09 23	Q. Now, what direction was that individual

13:18:12	1	walking for the first three seconds of the video?
13:18:19	2	A. South.
13:18:20	3	Q. Okay. And your car is moving or is it
13:18:22	4	stationary?
13:18:23	5	A. Stationary, still.
13:18:24	6	Q. Okay. So now what would be your
13 18:27	7	typical thing that you would do next, if you saw an
13:18:31	8	individual that was walking towards your police
13:18:33	9	vehicle and you were still stopped and and
13:18:36	10	hadn't been in motion?
13:18:38	11	MS. HUGGINS: Form.
13:18:38	12	THE WITNESS: Well, at the time, you
13:18:40	13	don't you can't say if you know that he's
13:18:42	14	walking towards my police vehicle or towards the
13:18:45	15	sidewalk or, you know, behind my police vehicle,
13:18:47	16	but I'm thinking officer safety.
13:18:52	17	BY MR. DAVENPORT:
13:18:52	18	Q. Okay.
13:18:52	19	A. But at that at that time, you know,
13:18:53	20	years ago, I don't remember what I was thinking.
13:18:55	21	Q. Okay. But the individual did take
13:19:01	22	a few steps, and he did he was closer to your
13:19:05	23	police vehicle than he was at the beginning of the
	I	

13:19:08	1	video, correct?
13:19:08	2	A. Yes.
13:19:09	3	Q. Okay. Now now, we're still on three
13:19:23	4	seconds of the video. Your video your car has
13:19:26	5	gone into motion at this point, correct? It
13:19:28	6	started moving forward?
13:19:29	7	A. Yes.
13:19:29	8	Q. And where is the individual at this
13:19:32	9	point with reference to your police vehicle?
13:19:37	10	A. The driver's side, in between my truck
13:19:41	11	and the grass.
13:19:43	12	Q. Okay. Now, what I want you to do is
13:19:46	13	I want you to make reference of the time stamp, and
13:19:49	14	I don't want you to verify its accuracy. I just
13:19:52	15	want you to look at what the time is.
13:19:55	16	Would you agree that is 10:25:32 when your
13:19:58	17	car first starts in motion?
13:20:00	18	MS. HUGGINS: Form.
13:20:03	19	THE WITNESS: Based on this video and the
13:20:06	20	numbers that are on the screen, it says 10:25:32.
13:20:12	21	BY MR. DAVENPORT:
13 20:12	22	Q. Okay. Now, focusing on your car
13:20:18	23	A. You said focusing on my car?

13:20:20	1	Q. Yes. Oh, I'm sorry. We'll we'll
13:20:22	2	play it again. I'll start it from three seconds.
13:20:27	3	Well, we'll start it from three seconds.
13:20:27	4	THE WITNESS: Yeah.
13:20:27	5	(Video clip played.)
13 20:27	6	BY MR. DAVENPORT:
13:20:29	7	Q. Now, focusing on your car, I want you
13:20:31	8	to tell me when it is off the screen. And I'll do
13:20:35	9	my best to stop the video when your car is out of
13:20:39	10	the scene.
13:20:39	11	MS. HUGGINS: Form.
13:20:40	12	THE WITNESS: All right.
13:20:45	13	BY MR. DAVENPORT:
13:20:46	14	Q. Now, do you see on that top time stamp
13:20:48	15	what the time is?
13:20:50	16	A. Yeah. The top time stamp on the the
13 20:54	17	video is 10:25:37.
13:20:57	18	Q. Okay. So 10:25:37.
13:20:59	19	And when you first started in motion, it was
13:21:01	20	10:25:32, correct?
13:21:05	21	A. Yeah.
13:21:05	22	MR. DAVENPORT: Okay. So now what I want
13:21:11	23	you to do well, I want to play a second video.
}		

13 21:11 1	(Discussion off the record.)
13:21:11 2	(Video clip played.)
13:21:11 3	BY MR. DAVENPORT:
13:23:00 4	Q. Now, assuming that these cameras are
13:23:02 5	calibrated where the times are accurately
13:23:04 6	reflecting each other they don't have to be
13:23:06 7	accurate in terms of what time it actually is, but
13:23:08 8	just assuming that they're all calibrated together,
13:23:11 9	what is the time stamp in that top video frame
13:23:14 10	right there?
13:23:15 11	MS. HUGGINS: Form.
13:23:16 12	THE WITNESS: Again, don't want to speculate
13:23:21 13	or assume anything, but the time on that screen is
13:23:26 14	10:25:38.
13:23:28 15	BY MR. DAVENPORT:
13:23:28 16	Q. Okay. Now, your car, although it was
13:23:30 17	in the camera view one second before, so that would
13:23:34 18	have been well, hold on.
13:23:37 19	Now, would you agree with me that your car
13:23:39 20	is in view at 10:25:36?
13:23:44 21	A. Yes.
13:23:44 22	MR. DAVENPORT: Okay. Now watching the
13:23:46 23	video, would you agree that your car
t	

13:23:46 1	(Discussion off the record.)
13:23:46 2	BY MR. DAVENPORT:
13:23:59 3	Q. All right. Now, would you agree that
13:23:59 4	at 10:25:38, your car is outside of the view of the
13:24:03 5	camera?
13 24:04 6	A. Yes.
13:24:04 7	MS. HUGGINS: Form.
13:24:04 8	THE WITNESS: Yes.
13:24:05 9	BY MR. DAVENPORT:
13:24:06 10	Q. Okay. Now, I want you to pay attention
13:24:09 11	and see when the next time your police vehicle is
13:24:13 12	in the view of the camera.
13:24:19 13	A. Okay.
13:24:24 14	Yeah. 44, I think.
13:24:27 15	Q. Okay.
13 24:27 16	A. 10:25:44.
13:24:29 17	Q. Okay. So the time stamp in the top
13:24:32 18	would have been 10:25:44, correct?
13:24:35 19	A. Yeah.
13:24:35 20	MR. DAVENPORT: Okay.
13:24:43 21	(Discussion off the record.)
13:24:43 22	MS. HUGGINS: Do you want to identify the
13:24:44 23	discs that you've played for the record?
<u> </u>	

13:24:45 1	MR. DAVENPORT: Sure. So the disc that
13:24:46 2	I just played was Exhibit 12. It is Exhibit A
13:24:51 3	supplement that was provided by the plaintiffs.
13:24:56 4	We are now playing Exhibit 11, which was
13:24:58 5	also provided by the plaintiffs.
13:24:58 6	(Video clip played.)
13:24:58 7	BY MR. DAVENPORT:
13:25:20 8	Q. So now I am playing again what has been
13:25:23 9	marked as Exhibit 11, for purposes of the
13 25:26 10	deposition. The last four digits are 2529.
13:25:31 11	Now, what I want you to pay attention to is
13:25:34 12	when the first instance that you would consider
13:25:37 13	contact has been made between Mr. Kistner and
13:25:41 14	Ms. Velez and Ms. McDermott's vehicle.
13:25:45 15	A. Okay.
13:25:51 16	MS. HUGGINS: Wait for a question.
13:25:52 17	BY MR. DAVENPORT:
13:25:52 18	Q. What time is that?
13:25:54 19	What time what's the time stamp in the
13 25:55 20	top part of the video?
13:25:58 21	MS. HUGGINS: Form.
13:25:58 22	THE WITNESS: 10:25:36.
13:26:02 23	BY MR. DAVENPORT:

13:26:02	1	Q. Okay. Would you agree with me that
13:26:04	2.	your vehicle is still in view at that point at
13:26:09	3	10:25:36?
13 26:10	4	A. Yeah. Yes.
13:26:11	5	Q. Okay. Now, would you agree with me
13:26:13	6	that based on the other camera angle, you did not
13:26:17	7	appear back within view until 10:25:44?
13:26:22	8	MS. HUGGINS: Form.
13:26:22	9	THE WITNESS: Yeah, that's correct.
13:26:24	10	BY MR. DAVENPORT:
13:26:24	11	Q. And that would have been eight seconds
13:26:26	12	after initial contact was made between Mr. Kistner
13:26:28	13	and that vehicle, correct?
13:26:29	14	MS. HUGGINS: Form. I'd object to we've
13 26:34	15	already indicated that we're not the accuracy of
13:26:37	16	these time stamps has not been verified in any way.
13:26:42	17	BY MR. DAVENPORT:
13:26:42	18	Q. You can answer the question.
13:26:43	19	A. Can you can you repeat the question
13:26:46	20	again?
13:26:46	21	MR. DAVENPORT: Sure.
13:26:47	22	Can you read back the question that I just
13:26:49	23	asked?

13:26:49	1	(The above-requested portion was then read
13:27:10	2	by the reporter.)
13:27:10	3	MS. HUGGINS: Same same form objection.
13:27:13	4	THE WITNESS: Yeah. Yes, as per that time
13:27:17	5	on the camera.
13:27:19	. 6	BY MR. DAVENPORT:
13:27:19	7	Q. Okay. So now assuming that you were
13:27:21	8	moving forward for six of those seconds, you
13:27:26	9	weren't stopped at the point that contact was made
13:27:28	10	between Mr. Kistner and the police vehicle,
13:27:30	11	correct?
13:27:30	12	MS. HUGGINS: Form.
13:27:32	13	THE WITNESS: No.
13:27:33	14	BY MR. DAVENPORT:
13:27:34	15	Q. Okay. Would you have been looking into
13:27:37	16	your driver's side mirror at this point?
13:27:41	17	A. Like I said earlier, I I could have
13:27:43	18	been looking at the mirror or forward, but I do
13:27:49	19	remember at some point looking at the driver's side
13:27:52	20	mirror and seeing what I thought I saw.
13:27:56	21	Q. So now assuming that you saw
13:27:59	22	Mr. Kistner make contact with the vehicle, you're
13:28:01	23	still driving forward for a few seconds, correct?

13:28:04 1	MS. HUGGINS: Form.
13:28:04 2	THE WITNESS: Correct.
13:28:06 3	BY MR. DAVENPORT:
13 28:06 4	Q. So why didn't you stop after contact
13:28:08 5	was made between Mr. Kistner and the police
13:28:10 6	vehicle?
13:28:10 7	MS. HUGGINS: Form.
13:28:10 8	THE WITNESS: Well, because you have to come
13:28:12 9	to a safe stop. You can't just slam on the brakes
13:28:15 10	and slam your head into the steering wheel. You
13:28:18 11	know what I mean? You still have to stop.
13:28:21 12	BY MR. DAVENPORT:
13:28:21 13	Q. Okay. And it would have taken you,
13 28:24 14	let's assume, eight seconds to come back?
13:28:26 15	MS. HUGGINS: Form.
13:28:27 16	BY MR. DAVENPORT:
13:28:28 17	Q. To stop your car and come back, that
13:28:30 18	would be a safe stop?
13:28:31 19	MS. HUGGINS: Form.
13:28:32 20	THE WITNESS: Yeah.
13:28:32 21	BY MR. DAVENPORT:
13:28:32 22	Q. Okay. Now, let's assume that your
13:28:35 23	vehicle is moving away from the incident as it's

13:28:37	1	happening. What do you think that what you
13:28:43	2	would be able to see would be distorted if you're
13:28:47	3	moving away from a scene rather than closer to
13:28:49	4	a scene?
13:28:50	5	MS. HUGGINS: Form.
13:28:50	6	THE WITNESS: You know, your eyes see what
13:28:54	7	they see, so I don't I can't speak on what can
13:28:58	8	be distorted and all that.
13:29:03	9	BY MR. DAVENPORT:
13:29:03	10	Q. But you saw Mr. Kistner make contact
13:29:06	11	with the vehicle?
13:29:07	12	MS. HUGGINS: Form. Asked and answered.
13:29:09	13	THE WITNESS: Yeah.
13:29:10	14	BY MR. DAVENPORT:
13:29:10	15	Q. And then you kept on driving forward?
13:29:12	16	MS. HUGGINS: Form.
13:29:12	17	THE WITNESS: I was slowing down to a stop
13:29:14	18	and then reversing, yeah.
13:29:15	19	BY MR. DAVENPORT:
13:29:15	20	Q. Was Karl Schultz telling you to stop at
13:29:18	21	that point?
13:29:18	22	A. Like I said, I don't I don't
13:29:21	23	remember if he told me to stop. I think I I
4		

13:29:24 1	think I just kind of stopped.
13:29:26 2	Q. Okay. Are you talking at all with Karl
13 29:31 3	Schultz as contact is initially made between the
13:29:33 4	police vehicle and Mr. Kistner?
13:29:34 5	MS. HUGGINS: Form.
13:29:35	THE WITNESS: As I already stated, I think
13:29:40 7	I think we both I think either I said something,
13:29:44 8	he said something, or maybe we both said something
13:29:47	about what we thought we just saw.
13:29:50 10	BY MR. DAVENPORT:
13:29:50 11	Q. Okay. Now, I want you to watch again
13:30:02 12	the collision that is made between Mr. Kistner and
13:30:04 13	between the police vehicle, and I want you to tell
13:30:08 14	me if you still think that Mr. Kistner was the one
13:30:12 15	that threw himself at the police vehicle.
13:30:14 16	MS. HUGGINS: Form.
13:30:21 17	(Video clip played.)
13:30:21 18	BY MR. DAVENPORT:
13:30:21 19	Q. Does it look like Mr. Kistner threw
13:30:23 20	himself at that police vehicle?
13:30:24 21	MS. HUGGINS: Form.
13:30:24 22	THE WITNESS: Again, we're looking at
13:30:27 23	a camera at a different angle. What I saw from my

JACK W. HUNT & ASSOCIATES, INC.

13:30:31	1	perspective, it looked like he threw himself at the
13:30:36	2	vehicle.
13:30:37	3	BY MR. DAVENPORT:
13:30:37	4	Q. But, again
13:30:38	5	A. So
13:30:39	6	Q your perspective was you looking in
13:30:41	7	your driver's side mirror, as you were driving
13:30:43	8	forward.
13:30:43	9	A. Correct.
13:30:43	10	Q. And you were driving forward for eight
13:30:45	11	seconds after initial contact was made.
13:30:49	12	A. That is correct.
13:30:50	13	MS. HUGGINS: Form.
13:30:50	14	BY MR. DAVENPORT:
13:30:50	15	Q. Okay. Now, after contact was made, did
13:30:52	16	you notice that police vehicle moving forward at
13:30:56	17	all?
13:30:56	18	I can replay it if you need me to.
13:30:59	19	A. I I just need you to say that
13:31:01	20	question again. What do you mean?
13:31:03	21	Q. Okay.
13:31:04	22	MS. HUGGINS: Can you read it back, Anne?
13:31:04	23	(The above-requested portion was then read
7	- 1	

JACK W. HUNT & ASSOCIATES, INC. 1120 Liberty Building

Buffalo, New York 14202 - (716) 853-5600

13:31:24	1	by the reporter.)
13:31:24	2	THE WITNESS: By this video, at this angle,
13:31:28	3	that's what it looks like.
13:31:30	4	BY MR. DAVENPORT:
13:31:30	5	Q. Okay.
13:31:30	6	A. But from what I saw when again, when
13:31:33	7	I was looking in my mirror, that's not what I saw.
13:31:35	8	Q. Okay. Did anybody talk with did
13:31:41	9	either you or Karl Schultz talk with Lauren
13:31:44	10	McDermott and Jenny Velez to see what they saw?
13:31:45	11	A. I I would have never have done that.
13:31:48	12	Again, because I was so brand new, I deferred
13 31:51	13	everything to to the other officers.
13:31:54	14	MR. DAVENPORT: Okay. Now, I want you to
13:32:16	15	watch.
13:32:16	16	(Video clip played.)
13:32:16	17	BY MR. DAVENPORT:
13:32:18	18	Q. Who is that individual that just came
13:32:21	19	into the scene right here?
13:32:23	20	A. At the time, I I didn't know, and,
13:32:32	21	again, I I don't think we ever took down info.
13:32:38	22	I'm pretty sure it it was his son it's his
13:32:40	23	son.

13:32:43	1	Q. Okay. Would it be normal for somebody
13:32:45	2	to run out after their father after they've been
13:32:48	3	hit by a police vehicle?
13:32:48	4	MS. HUGGINS: Form.
13:32:50	5	THE WITNESS: You can't you can't just
13:32:53	6	determine that from from not knowing who he is
13 32:55	7	or anything like that. You know what I mean?
13:32:57	8	I don't I don't I don't know who he is.
13:32:58	9	BY MR. DAVENPORT:
13:32:58	10	Q. But after you came to because you
13:33:00	11	did say that you came to learn that
13:33:00	12	A. Yeah. Yeah.
13:33:02	13	Q he may have been his son or
13:33:03	14	A. So
13:33:04	15	Q related to him, that it would have
13:33:07	16	been normal for him to run out after his father
13:33:09	17	after he's been hit?
13 33:11	18	MS. HUGGINS: Form. Asked and answered, and
13:33:11	19	misstates the testimony.
13:33:12	20	THE WITNESS: It's normal for some people.
13:33:14	21	It's normal not normal for other people.
13:33:14	22	I mean
13:33:15	23	BY MR. DAVENPORT:

13:33:15 1	Q. Would you run out after your father, if
13:33:17 2	he was hit by a police vehicle?
13 33:18 3	A. Again, it's normal for some people.
13:33:20 4	It's normal not normal for
13:33:22 5	Q. I'm asking you for what you would
13:33:25 6	specifically do.
13:33:25 7	A. I'm I'm going I'm going to answer.
13:33:25 8	MS. HUGGINS: Form.
13:33:27 9	THE WITNESS: Just let me answer.
13:33:27 10	BY MR. DAVENPORT:
13:33:28 11	Q. Okay.
13:33:28 12	A. It's normal for some people. It's not
13:33:30 13	normal for other people. I would. There's other
13:33:31 14	people that I've seen that don't really care. So
13:33:34 15	it is normal but it's also not normal.
13:33:36 16	Q. Okay. Now, at this point he runs out
13:33:40 17	and he stops really quickly. At this point would
13:33:44 18	you say that he's made any threatening motions or
13:33:46 19	anything that would make you concerned for your
13:33:48 20	safety?
13:33:49 21	MS. HUGGINS: Form.
13:33:50 22	THE WITNESS: No.
13:33:51 23	BY MR. DAVENPORT:
i	1

13:33:51	1	Q. Okay. Now he runs out again well,
13:33:54	2	he's not even running at this point. He's just
13:33:57	3	kind of inching his way over to see what his
13:33:59	4	father's doing.
13:34:00	5	Is he at all concerned with what you or the
13:34:02	6	other officers are doing, or is he just trying to
13:34:05	7	see what his father's doing on the other side of
13:34:07	8	the police vehicle?
13:34:07	9	MS. HUGGINS: Form. Calls for speculation.
13:34:10	10	THE WITNESS: Yeah, I mean, I don't I
13:34:12	11	don't know what he's doing. He's not concerned
13:34:14	12	with the officers, though.
13:34:15	13	BY MR. DAVENPORT:
13:34:15	14	Q. Okay.
13:34:16	15	A. It doesn't it doesn't look like he
13:34:19	16	is.
13:34:19	17	Q. Now what's he doing there?
13:34:22	18	What do you think he's doing, based on the
13:34:24	19	video?
13:34:24	20	MS. HUGGINS: Form.
13:34:24	21	THE WITNESS: Bending over.
13:34:25	22	MS. HUGGINS: Compound question.
13:34:26	23	BY MR. DAVENPORT:

13 34:26 1	Q. Based on the video, why would he be
13:34:28 2	bending over?
13:34:29 3	MS. HUGGINS: Form.
13:34:30 4	THE WITNESS: I I can't answer why he's
13:34:31 5	bending over. He could yeah. I mean, he could
13:34:34 6	be checking on his dad.
13:34:36 7	BY MR. DAVENPORT:
13:34:36 8	Q. Well, his father's on the ground,
13:34:38 9	right?
13:34:39 10	A. Yeah.
13:34:39 11	Q. Do you think maybe he's bending down to
13:34:41 12	get a better vantage point of his father?
13:34:44 13	A. Yeah.
13:34:44 14	MS. HUGGINS: Form.
13:34:44 15	THE WITNESS: I just said that he could be
13:34:46 16	checking on his dad.
13:34:48 17	BY MR. DAVENPORT:
13:34:48 18	Q. Okay. Now, at this point he walks
13:34:50 19	right past the officers, correct?
13:34:52 20	A. Yes.
13:34:52 21	Q. At this point has he made any
13:34:55 22	threatening motions or anything threatening
13:34:57 23	maneuvers that would cause the police officers

13:34:59 1	concern?
13:35:04 2	A. No.
13:35:05 . 3	Q. Okay. Now, he walks back towards the
13:35:13 4	sidewalk, correct?
13:35:15 5	A. Yeah.
13 35:15 6	Q. Would you consider him to now be in the
13:35:17 7	scene of a police incident?
13:35:22 8	A. No.
13:35:22 9	Q. Okay. At any point before he left the
13:35:30 10	view of that camera, would you consider him to be
13:35:32 11	in the scene of a police police incident?
13:35:35 12	MS. HUGGINS: Form.
13:35:37 13	THE WITNESS: At the time and how brand new
13:35:41 14	I was, I would say no.
13:35:43 15	I would say, given my time on the job now,
13:35:46 16	he was in the scene at one point in time and now
13:35:49 17	he's no longer in the scene.
13:35:50 18	BY MR. DAVENPORT:
13:35:50 19	Q. Okay. Has he made any sort of
13:35:53 20	threatening motions or any sort of movements that
13:35:56 21	would cause you alarm?
13:35:57 22	A. No.
13:36:02 23	MR. DAVENPORT: Okay. Now, I want you to

JACK W. HUNT & ASSOCIATES, INC.
1120 Liberty Building

watch and see if this individual appears back in
the screen.
(Video clip played.)
THE WITNESS: So wherever that was what
was that? 42?
BY MR. DAVENPORT:
Q. Yes. We'll say 10:26:42, he's back in
the scene?
MS. HUGGINS: Form.
BY MR. DAVENPORT:
Q. Is he in the scene at this point?
Police scene.
A. On the on the wait. Say that
again.
Q. Is this individual in the police scene
at 10:40 10:26:42?
A. He's in the the view of the camera.
I wouldn't say he's in the scene.
Q. Okay. At any point does he enter the
police scene?
At any point before I stopped?
A. No, but there's some type of contact
made. It looks like

JACK W. HUNT & ASSOCIATES, INC.
1120 Liberty Building

13:37:14	1	Q. When
13:37:16	2	A. It looks like there's some type of
13:37:18	3	contact made either between the officer and him or
13:37:21	4	him and the officer.
13:37:23	5	Q. Now, when you say contact, what are you
13:37:26	6	referring to?
13:37:28	7	A. Maybe he said something or the officer
13:37:32	8	said something to him. I don't know.
13:37:34	9	Q. Okay. So contact refers to something
13:37:35	10	that is verbally said.
13:37:37	11	MS. HUGGINS: Form.
13:37:38	12	THE WITNESS: Verbally, physically, yeah,
13:37:41	13	but in this scenario, verbal.
13:37:44	14	BY MR. DAVENPORT:
13:37:44	15	Q. Okay.
13:37:44	16	A. Some something something made the
13:37:45	17	officer direct his attention to the male.
13:37:50	18	Q. Okay.
13:37:51	19	A. Something.
13:37:51	20	Q. Now, I understand that it might be
13:37:53	21	different from what you saw that day, but now
13:37:55	22	watching the video, what does it appear that that
13:38:01	23	individual is doing?

13:38:01	1	MS. HUGGINS: Form.
13:38:02	2	THE WITNESS: It appears as though he's on
13:38:04	3	his phone.
13:38:04	4	BY MR. DAVENPORT:
13:38:04	5	Q. Okay. Do you have any reason to know
13:38:07	6	today why he was on his phone at that time?
13:38:12	7	A. I I can't speculate why he's on his
13:38:17	8	phone. I don't know.
13:38:17	9	Q. Would that be something that's a
13:38:19 1	.0	threatening motion made?
13:38:21 1	.1	A. No.
13:38:21 1	.2	Q. For a police officer, if somebody was
13:38:24 1	.3	on their phone?
13:38:25 1	.4	A. No.
13:38:32 1	.5	Q. Okay. Now, when initial contact is
13:38:33 1	.6	made between a police officer and this individual,
13:38:35 1	.7	would you have to do some sort of a visual
13:38:37 1	.8	assessment?
13:38:38 1	.9	A. Can you explain that?
13:38:39 2	0 20	MS. HUGGINS: Form.
13:38:40 2	21	BY MR. DAVENPORT:
13:38:40 2	22	Q. Well, you you were saying that
13:38:41 2	23	contact has been made between the police officer

13:38:43 1	and this individual.
13:38:46 2	What would be the next steps after that
13:38:47 3	contact is made in this situation?
13 38:50 4	MS. HUGGINS: Form. Are you asking what
13:38:52 5	happened or procedure?
13:38:53 6	MR. DAVENPORT: Procedure.
13:38:54 7	MS. HUGGINS: Form.
13:38:55 8	THE WITNESS: That can be dictated by other
13:39:00 9	variables. I don't know what is about to be said
13:39:04 10	or what the subject does or acts out.
13:39:10 11	BY MR. DAVENPORT:
13:39:10 12	Q. Okay. So let's say in this situation,
13:39:15 13	an individual is on their cell phone and contact
13:39:19 14	has been made between the police officer and this
13:39:22 15	individual.
13:39:22 16	What should the next steps be in this
13:39:25 17	situation, knowing that some individual has been
13:39:30 18	contacted by a police vehicle?
13:39:31 19	MS. HUGGINS: Form.
13:39:33 20	THE WITNESS: Well, I'm confused if you're
13:39:38 21	asking about two different things. About the
13:39:42 22	the officers with the subject who
13:39:47 23	BY MR. DAVENPORT:

13:39:47 Q. Oh, no. I'm --13:39:49 -- was contacted by the police vehicle Α. 13:39:51 or -- or the subject that was contacted by this 13:39:53 officer. What are you -- what are you talking 13:39:55 about? Which one? 13:39:57 Q. So I'm not talking about the individual that was contacted by the police vehicle. We were 13:39:58 13:40:01 8 talking about the contact that is made between the 13:40:03 individual who is on his phone and the individual -the police officer who was out in the street. 13:40:06 10 13:40:09 11 What I want to know is --13:40:10 12 A. Yeah. There's --13:40:11 13 -- in this situation, you know, what --Q. 13:40:12 14 what should he be doing if an individual is on his phone after somebody, possibly his father, has been 13:40:15 15 struck by a police vehicle? 13:40:18 16 13:40:19 17 MS. HUGGINS: Form. THE WITNESS: There's -- there's no written 13:40:19 18 13:40:21 19 procedure. You can speak to him and leave. You 13:40:25 20 can speak to him and stay. You can -- I don't know what they're talking about. 13:40:28 21 BY MR. DAVENPORT: 13:40:30 22

JACK W. HUNT & ASSOCIATES, INC.
1120 Liberty Building

Okay. Well, would there be any reason

13:40:31 23

Q.

13:40:33 1	to take that cell phone from that individual?
13:40:35 2	MS. HUGGINS: Form.
13:40:37 3	THE WITNESS: It it no, not right now.
13:40:42 4	BY MR. DAVENPORT:
13:40:43 5	Q. Okay. Would there be any reason
13:40:49 6	well, we'll keep on watching.
13:40:56 7	So now an individual this individual is,
13:41:00 8	would you agree, walking away from the police
13:41:03 9	officer that first made contact with him?
13:41:05 10	A. Mm-hmm. I'm sorry. Yes.
13:41:08 11	Q. And would you agree that he is still on
13:41:10 12	his cell phone at this point?
13:41:13 13	A. Yes.
13:41:13 14	Q. Okay. Now, what reasons would the
13 41:15 15	police officer have to go to that individual while
13:41:19 16	he's on his cell phone?
13:41:21 17	MS. HUGGINS: Form.
13:41:23 18	THE WITNESS: I can't
13:41:23 19	MS. HUGGINS: Calls for speculation.
13:41:25 20	THE WITNESS: Yeah. I can't speculate
13:41:25 21	for and that's
13:41:25 22	BY MR. DAVENPORT:
13:41:25 23	Q. Well, you were there.

Moriarity - Davenport - 2/21/20

207

13:41:25 1	A. That's
13:41:26 2	Q. You were there.
13:41:26 3	A. Well, let me let me talk.
13:41:28 4	MS. HUGGINS: Form.
13:41:29 5	THE WITNESS: I can't speculate for what
13:41:33 6	Karl's doing, but you can, in many scenarios, when
13:41:37 7	someone's walking away, detain them for whatever
13:41:41 8	reason. Whatever reason is is legal in that
13:41:44 9	moment.
13 41:46 10	And for you to say that I was there, I wasn't.
13:41:48 11	I was over at a totally different vehicle.
13:41:50 12	BY MR. DAVENPORT:
13:41:50 13	Q. Okay.
13:41:50 14	A. So I don't know what they were talking
13:41:52 15	about. That's speculation.
13:41:53 16	Q. Okay. So now this individual is
13:41:55 17	walking away from Karl Schultz, and Karl Schultz is
13:41:58 18	walking towards him. I mean, this guy's still on
13:42:02 19	his cell phone, correct?
13:42:03 20	What what possible things could he have
13:42:04 21	said where Karl Schultz would still be following
13:42:06 22	after him?
	The state of the s

JACK W. HUNT & ASSOCIATES, INC.
1120 Liberty Building

MS. HUGGINS: Form. There's a lot of

13:42:07 23

13:42:09	1	foundational problems with that question. It's
13:42:11	2	compound. It's
13 42:11	3	MR. DAVENPORT: It's a deposition. He can
13:42:13	4	answer the question.
13:42:14	5	You can you can move to strike it if you
13:42:16	6	would like, but he can answer the question.
13:42:18	7	MS. HUGGINS: You have to ask ask proper
13:42:19	8	questions.
13:42:19	9	MR. DAVENPORT: It's a proper question.
13:42:21	10	I'm asking he's on his cell phone. He's
13:42:23	11	walking away from Karl Schultz. What possible
13:42:26	12	things could he have said that would need Karl
13:42:29	13	Schultz to keep on walking after him?
13:42:31	14	MS. HUGGINS: Form. It calls for
13:42:32	15	speculation, and it's a compound question.
13:42:33	16	MR. DAVENPORT: I'm just asking what things
13:42:35	17	could have possibly been said. He can answer the
13:42:37	18	question.
13:42:38	19	THE WITNESS: I would still defer to Karl
13:42:40	20	Schultz. I I don't know
13:42:40	21	BY MR. DAVENPORT:
13:42:40	22	Q. Would there be any reason
13:42:43	23	A what was said.
;		

JACK W. HUNT & ASSOCIATES, INC.

1120 Liberty Building

7.55 Lawrence 14000 (7.15) 055 5505

Buffalo, New York 14202 - (716) 853-5600

13:42:44	1	Q why you would go?
13:42:45	2	MS. HUGGINS: Allow him to finish an answer.
13:42:48	3	THE WITNESS: I don't know what was said.
13:42:53	4	In many different scenarios I've just let
13:42:57	5	people walk away. In other scenarios I've kept
13:43:00	6	them on scene.
13:43:01	7	I don't know. I don't know I don't know
13 43:01	8	what was said during the interaction between Karl
13:43:05	9	and the subject, so I don't know.
13:43:08	10	BY MR. DAVENPORT:
13:43:08	11	Q. Now, situations where you have kept the
13:43:10	12	person on scene, what types of things did that
13:43:13	13	individual say?
13:43:14	14	MS. HUGGINS: Form.
13:43:16	15	THE WITNESS: They could say something
13:43:19	16	specific to the scene, and I don't know maybe
13:43:25	17	they they witnessed something and you need
13:43:28	18	a statement from them.
13:43:28	19	Maybe maybe they said something that
13:43:34	20	would elicit a disorderly conduct penal law charge
13:43:40	21	and get arrested.
13:43:41	22	You could you know, there's there's
13:43:43	23	many different things that someone would say where

13:43:45	1	you would keep someone on scene and detain them and
13:43:49	2	take it from there.
13:43:51	3	BY MR. DAVENPORT:
13:43:51	4	Q. Now, assuming that this person said
13:43:54	5	something about the scene that would have made them
13:43:57	6	a witness, did anybody take a statement from him
13:44:02	7	that day?
13:44:03	8	MS. HUGGINS: Form.
13:44:04	9	THE WITNESS: I never said that he was
13:44:05	10	a witness. I don't know if any statement was
13:44:09	11	taken. I would have deferred to the senior
13:44:11	12	officers.
13 44:11	13	BY MR. DAVENPORT:
13:44:11	14	Q. Okay. Now, would you agree that the
13:44:22	15	individual is now out of the scene and so is
13:44:25	16	Officer Karl Schultz at this point?
13:44:28	17	A. Yes.
13:44:28	18	Q. Okay. Where are you at this point?
13:44:29	19	A. I'm in the lower right-hand corner of
13:44:32	20	the video screen.
13:44:33	21	Q. And what direction are you facing?
13:44:36	22	A. East.
13:44:38	23	Q. And east would be in the you were

13:44:40 1	facing the direction where that individual was,
13:44:44 2	correct?
13:44:45 3	A. The subject with Karl, yes.
13:44:48 4	Q. Okay. Do you know how approximately
13:44:53 5	how far away from the subject you were?
13:44:55 6	A. I I no, I don't.
13:44:57 7	Q. Okay. Now, at this point is Karl
13:45:05 8	Schultz leading the individual out back out into
13:45:07 9	the street?
13:45:09 1 C	A. Yes.
13:45:09 11	Q. Does it look like he's going out there
13:45:11 12	voluntarily, the individual?
13:45:13 13	A. No. It looks from from this camera,
13:45:16 14	he was being detained for some reason.
13:45:19 15	Q. Okay. Where were you at this time?
13:45:23 16	A. On the left side of Karl.
13:45:25 17	Q. Okay. Were you facing the individual?
13 45:28 18	A. Yeah. And yeah. Karl and the
13:45:30 19	individual, yeah.
13:45:31 20	Q. Okay. Now, where is the individual at
13:45:41 21	this time?
13:45:41 22	A. Lower right.
13:45:42 23	Q. Okay. Is any officer touching the
)	

13:45:47	1	individual?
13 45:48	2	A. Yes.
13:45:49	3	Q. And who is that individual?
13:45:50	4	A. Karl Schultz.
13:45:51	5	Q. And where are you at this time?
13:45:53	6	A. On the same side. The left side of
13:45:57	7	Karl Schultz.
13:45:57	8	Q. Okay. And are you facing the
13:45:59	9	individual?
13:46:00 1	0	A. Yes.
13:46:01 1	1	Q. Okay. Has any pat-down been done of
13:46:03 1	2	the individual at this point?
13:46:04 1	3	A. Not yet.
13:46:05 1	4	Q. Okay. Has any pat-down been done of
13:46:14 1	5	the individual at this point?
13:46:14 1	6	A. You can't really see, but I don't I
13:46:17 1	7	don't believe so.
13:46:18 1	8	Q. Well, you told me that the pat-down
13:46:21 1	9	would consist of patting down the pant legs,
13:46:23 2	0	correct?
13:46:23 2	1	A. Yeah.
13:46:23 2	2	Q. Has any does it look like Karl
13 46:25 2	3	Schultz has reached down at all, or does he still

13:46:28	1	have his hands up, near the individual's head?
13:46:30	2	MS. HUGGINS: Form.
13:46:30	3	THE WITNESS: This camera view, it looks
13:46:32	4	like they're still up, upper body.
13:46:34	5	BY MR. DAVENPORT:
13:46:34	6	Q. Okay. Now, what just happened there?
13 46:40	7	A. A little bit of resistance.
13:46:45	8	Q. So when you say there was a little bit
13:46:47	9	of resistance, by who? The individual or the
13:46:49	10	police officer?
13:46:50	11	A. It looks like the subject, but that
13:46:54	12	doesn't always mean anything. It's an emotional
13:46:59	13	state. People sometimes act on emotion and kind of
13:47:06	14	pull away and push off a cop and then and then
13:47:10	15	they calm down.
13:47:13	16	Q. Now, where where are you at this
13:47:15	17	time? Can you be seen in the camera?
13:47:18	18	A. Can you start it over two seconds
13:47:20	19	before this so I can see exactly where I am?
13:47:23	20	Q. Sure. It might skip, so I might have
13:47:28	21	to go back again.
13:47:33	22	A. It looks like I'm on the right side.
13:47:36	23	Q. I'm sorry. I'm sorry. One second.

13:47:40 1
13:47:40 2
13:47:42 3
13:47:44 4

13:47:50

13:47:54

13:47:57

- A. Oh, sorry.
- Q. Okay. All right. So we have it the two seconds before my previous question, so now my question is: From the time stamp 10:27:16, until the time stamp 10:27:18, where we were previously stopped, just kind of watch yourself, and tell me where you're positioned at 10:27:18.
- 13:48:00 8

5

6

7

- 13:48:01
- 13:48:03 10
- 13:48:04 11
- 13:48:07 12
- 13:48:11 13
- 13:48:15 14
- 13:48:16 15
- 13:48:16 16
- 13:48:19 17
- 13:48:22 18
- 13:48:25 19
- 13:48:28 20
- 13:48:29 21
- 13:48:35 22
- 13:48:38 23

- A. Okay.
- Q. Or where -- you know, where you would think that you are.
 - MS. HUGGINS: Form.

THE WITNESS: So I'm on the western side of the subject that Karl made contact with, or he made contact with Karl.

BY MR. DAVENPORT:

- Q. Okay. So, now, when an individual tries to struggle to get away from an officer or there's some sort of resistance, did you receive any training at that point on how to handle that situation?
- A. Yeah. There's like some -- they call it verbal judo. You just kind of talk and deescalate situations.

JACK W. HUNT & ASSOCIATES, INC.

1120 Liberty Building

13:48:40 1	Q. But verbal, not physical?
13:48:42 2	A. You can you can be physical to help
13:48:45 3	calm someone down, yeah, absolutely.
13:48:46 4	Q. Was that part of your training?
13:48:51 5	A. I don't remember if it was part of
13:48:53 6	academy or anything.
13:48:54 7	Q. Okay. At this point have you had
13:48:59 8	you encountered any individuals who had tried to
13:49:01 9	resist a police officer?
13:49:02 10	A. Yeah. Yeah. This morning, on the
13:49:04 11	Sattler call.
13:49:05 12	Q. Okay. And what sort of resistance did
13:49:09 13	you encounter in that situation?
13:49:12 14	A. Totally different scenario. Someone
13:49:15 15	that was running from police.
13:49:17 16	Q. And what what did you do in that
13:49:19 17	situation?
13:49:19 18	A. I ran after him.
13:49:21 19	Q. Okay. Did you actually catch the
13:49:24 20	individual
13:49:24 21	A. I didn't.
13:49:25 22	Q that was trying to run away?
13:49:26 23	A. I didn't.
) 5	

13:49:26	1	MS. HUGGINS: Form. Asked and answered.
13:49:28	2	THE WITNESS: I did not apprehend him, no.
13:49:30	3	BY MR. DAVENPORT:
13:49:30	4	Q. Were you involved at all in trying to
13:49:33	5	keep the individual from resisting?
13:49:37	6	A. The one from the Sattler call?
13:49:38	7	Q. Sattler.
13:49:39	8	A. No.
13:49:40	9	Q. Okay. So was that the only other time
13:49:42	10	that you had encountered somebody resisting being
13:49:46	11	detained by a police officer?
13:49:47	12	A. At that at that time I don't
13:49:49 1	13	remember any other incidents before before this
13:49:56	14	where someone had resisted. Very still very
13:50:01 1	15	new.
13:50:01 1	16	Q. Okay. Now, at this point we're at
13:50:08]	17	10:27:21. It's three seconds after you had been on
13:50:11 1	18	the right side of the individual. Has there been
13:50:14	19	any pat-down that's been done of the subject?
13:50:18 2	20	A. It didn't didn't look look like
13:50:20 2	21	it so far, no.
13:50:21 2	22	Q. Okay.
13:50:34 2	23	A. So he's emptying out his own pockets
j		

		·
13:50:37	1	right now.
13:50:37	2	Q. Okay. Why would he be emptying his
13:50:39	3	pockets?
13:50:41	4	A. Discretion. Maybe you know, I've
13:50:44	5	had people just empty out their pockets and pull up
13:50:47	6	their shirt to make sure they don't have anything
13:50:50	7	in their waistband.
13:50:51	8	I don't have to touch anybody if I don't
13:50:53	9	want to on a pat-down. They can pat themselves
13:50:55	10	down, and I can see inside their pockets well,
13:50:58	11	not see inside their pockets, but they can print
13:51:01	12	their pockets, fine.
13:51:03	13	Q. Okay.
13:51:03	14	A. You know, I don't need to I don't
13:51:04	15	need to do it myself.
13:51:06	16	And he was willing to and then spoke to us.
13:51:08	17	Q. If he was shaking his pockets, would
13:51:10	18	that count as a pat-down?
13:51:14	19	Would that be a proper pat-down?
13:51:16	20	MS. HUGGINS: Form.
13:51:18	21	THE WITNESS: I mean, if I guess if the
13:51:20	22	officer was satisfied with it.
13:51:23	23	BY MR. DAVENPORT:

JACK W. HUNT & ASSOCIATES, INC.
1120 Liberty Building

Buffalo, New York 14202 - (716) 853-5600

13:51:23	1	Q. So it would be officer discretion then?
13:51:25	2	A. Yeah.
13:51:28	3	Q. Now, at this point does it appear that
13:51:33	4.	he's patting his pants or is he checking his coat?
13:51:38	5	A. It looks like he could have been doing
13:51:40	6	something with his coat. Maybe emptying out coat
13:51:42	7	pockets or something. I don't
13:51:43	8	Q. Okay.
13:51:44	9	A. I don't know. Or I'm sorry. I don't
13:51:46	10	remember.
13:51:46	11	Q. It looks like he pulled something out
13:51:50	12	of his coat pocket. Do you know what that would
13:51:52	13	be?
13:51:52	14	A. I no. I don't remember.
13:51:53	15	Q. Okay. In this situation, what would
13:51:55	16	you expect him to pull out of his pockets?
13:51:57	17	MS. HUGGINS: Form.
13:51:58	18	THE WITNESS: I don't remember if anyone
13:52:01	19	asked him to go in his pockets or to get anything
13:52:06	20	out of his pockets.
13:52:07	21	BY MR. DAVENPORT:
13:52:07	22	Q. Okay.
13:52:10	23	A. Just because of the fact that I was so

13:52:13	1	brand new, I don't know.
13:52:14	2	Q. Sure.
13:52:15	3	Would it be proper to ask this person for
13:52:18	4	an identification in this situation?
13:52:20	5	A. I mean, it it it falls back on
13:52:22	6	officer discretion. I think that if someone
13:52:27	7	said let's say in this example he did, and, of
13:52:30	8	course, I don't want to speculate if he was
13:52:31	9	like, that's my dad, then I can just ask someone
13:52:35	10	their name, and I could be okay with that, and then
13:52:39	11	other cops would still want to see an ID.
13:52:42	12	Q. Okay.
13:52:46	13	A. Or maybe what whatever he pulled out
13:52:48	14	of his pockets was an ID. I don't I don't know.
13 52:50	15	Q. Okay. Did he pat down his pants at all
13:52:52	16	during that exchange?
13:52:54	17	A. Yeah. There was a few seconds prior to
13:52:57	18	this that you showed where he was doing stuff with
13:53:00	19	his pants.
13:53:01	20	MR. DAVENPORT: Okay. We'll watch it again.
13:53:01	21	(Video clip played.)
13:53:01	22	BY MR. DAVENPORT:
13:53:19	23	Q. Did you notice him pat his pants at any
į.		

13:53:21	1	point?
13:53:22	2	A. Yeah. You've just got to rewind it
13:53:25	3.	further, because he did it on camera.
13:53:27	4	Q. Okay. So it would have been before the
13:53:28	5	point that I went back to?
13:53:31	6	THE WITNESS: Yeah.
13:53:31	7	(Video clip played.)
13:53:37	8	BY MR. DAVENPORT:
13:53:37	9	Q. Now, I just want you to focus on any
13:53:39	10	time that he patted his pants, and then I would ask
13:53:41	11	you to please tell me to stop.
13:53:42	12	MS. HUGGINS: Form.
13:53:43	13	THE WITNESS: So right there.
13:53:44	14	BY MR. DAVENPORT:
13:53:44	15	Q. He's patting his pants at that point?
13:53:45	16	A. Well, he's already on to his coat, but
13:53:49	17	it looked like he was patting his pants down real
13:53:51	18	quick.
13:53:54	19	Q. Did he pat his pants at any point
13:53:56	20	during that?
13:53:57	21	A. No. No. That was his coat.
13:54:16	22	Q. Okay. Now, during a pat-down, would
13:54:19	23	you expect somebody to reach down towards their

JACK W. HUNT & ASSOCIATES, INC.

1120 Liberty Building

13:54:21 1	ankles to show that they don't have a weapon in
13:54:23 2	their sock or shoe?
13:54:24 3	MS. HUGGINS: Form.
13:54:26 4	THE WITNESS: That's discretion.
13:54:27 5	BY MR. DAVENPORT:
13:54:28 6	Q. What would be proper for a pat-down?
13:54:29 7	MS. HUGGINS: Form.
13:54:29 8	THE WITNESS: Again, that's that's
13:54:32 9	discretion. I've I've given full pat-downs
13:54:35 10	before and and I went from their sleeves, all
13:54:39 11	the way down to their ankles, and then other times
13:54:42 12	I've had someone lift up their shirt to make sure
13:54:46 13	they didn't have a gun in their waistband and left
13:54:49 14	it as that.
13 54:49 15	BY MR. DAVENPORT:
13:54:49 16	Q. Okay.
13:54:50 17	A. So it all falls on discretion.
13:54:52 18	Q. Okay. How many times have you allowed
13:54:53 19	the individual to pat themselves down, as opposed
13:54:56 20	to you doing that pat-down?
13:54:57 21	MS. HUGGINS: Form.
13:54:57 22	THE WITNESS: I don't know specifics.
13:54:59 23	MR. DAVENPORT: I would ask also what's the

13:55:01	1	form objection there?
13:55:02	2	MS. HUGGINS: How many times have you asked
13:55:03	3	a person to pat down themselves before you doing
13:55:06	4	it.?
13:55:08	5	MR. DAVENPORT: I don't think that was the
13:55:09	6	question.
13:55:09	7	MS. HUGGINS: We can read back the question.
13:55:11	8	MR. DAVENPORT: Yes, please.
13:55:11	9	(The above-requested portion was then read
13 55:32	10	by the reporter.)
13:55:32	11	MS. HUGGINS: It's the use of the term
13:55:33	12	pat-down.
13:55:34	13	MR. DAVENPORT: Okay. You can answer.
13:55:34	14	THE WITNESS: Yeah, I wouldn't have
13:55:38	15	a specific number on that.
13:55:39	16	BY MR. DAVENPORT:
13:55:40	17	Q. But you have done that before?
13:55:43	18	A. Yeah.
13:55:44	19	Q. Okay. Now, at this point it appears
13:56:00	20	that you are no longer in the camera view and
13:56:02	21	Officer Schultz is no longer in the camera view,
13:56:05	22	but the individual's still standing in the street.
13:56:08	23	What would have been done what was being

13:56:11 1	done at this point?
13:56:15 2	A. I don't remember. I would I would
13:56:17 3	defer to Karl on that.
13:56:18 4	Q. Okay. Why would you go back to the
13:56:21 5	police vehicle at that point?
13:56:23 6	A. I was probably following Karl around
13:56:25 7	and shadowing him.
13:56:34 8	Q. Okay.
13:56:42 9	A. So in the lower lower right.
13:56:53 10	Q. Now, at this point it appears that Karl
13:56:56 11	is radioing in. Would you agree to that?
13:56:58 12	A. Yes.
13:56:59 13	Q. Do you know what he was radioing in?
13:57:01 14	A. I don't. I don't remember.
13:57:02 15	Q. What would he possibly be radioing in
13:57:04 16	at that point?
13:57:05 17	MS. HUGGINS: Form.
13:57:09 18	THE WITNESS: I don't I actually don't
13:57:12 19	recall. I don't know I don't know. I mean,
13:57:16 20	I I did hear the the radio things over the
13:57:19 21	radio, but I didn't I don't know what he was
13:57:22 22	calling in right now.
13:57:30 23	BY MR. DAVENPORT:

13:57:30 1	Q. Okay. Did you have access to a radio
13:57:35 2	at this point?
13:57:35 3	A. I did have access to a radio, yeah.
13:57:37 4	Q. Okay.
13:57:38 5	A. I didn't I didn't use it.
13:57:39 6	Q. What situations would you have used
13:57:43 7	that radio?
13:57:43 8	MS. HUGGINS: Form.
13:57:44 9	THE WITNESS: At at this point in time,
13:57:47 10	I probably and, again, I I don't remember in
13:57:55 11	total. I probably wasn't on the radio at all
13:57:57 12	because I was so brand new.
13:57:59 13	This this would have been Karl on the
13:58:01 14	radio, and I think I just started driving.
13:58:08 15	BY MR. DAVENPORT:
13:58:08 16	Q. Okay. Now, it appears at this point
13:58:39 17	that you're just walking around. Would there have
13:58:44 18	been anything that you would have had to do in this
13 58:48 19	situation?
13:58:48 20	Was were you receiving any directions
13:58:49 21	from any of the other officers?
13:58:51 22	A. I don't I don't think so.
13:58:53 23	Q. Okay. Why would that individual still
<u>)</u>	

13:59:01 1	be in the street at this point?
13:59:06 2	MS. HUGGINS: Form.
13:59:06 3	THE WITNESS: Honestly, I don't I don't
13:59:09 4	know. I'm sorry. I don't remember.
13:59:12 5	MR. DAVENPORT: Okay.
13,59:19 6	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Mr. Davenport, for the
13:59:20 7	purposes of the media, could we take a quick
13:59:22 8	off-the-record break and
13:59:22 9	MR. DAVENPORT: Yes, we can.
13:59:23 10	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: then start back up?
13:59:24 11	MR. DAVENPORT: Yes, we can.
13:59:28 12	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Okay.
13:59:28 13	(A recess was then taken at 1:59 p.m.)
13:59:28 14	(On the record at 2:01 p.m.)
14:02:18 15	(Video clip played.)
14:02:18 16	BY MR. DAVENPORT:
14:02:19 17	Q. Now, at this point it's 10:25:48. The
14:02:21 18	police vehicle has just stopped, and it appears
14:02:24 19	that the person on the passenger side door has
14:02:27 20	opened the door. Would you agree with that?
14:02:29 21	A. Yeah. It it looks that way through
14:02:31 22	the trees.
14:02:32 23	Q. Okay. So now you it appears that
) i	

14:02:37	you and Officer Schultz have exited the vehicle?
14:02:41 2	A. Yes.
14:02:43	Q. It
14:02:44 4	A. I'm sorry.
14:02:44 5	Q. No. I'm sorry.
14:02:45 6	And the time is 10:25:51. I'm not asking
14:02:48 7	you to verify the accuracy of it, just whether you
14:02:52	see 10:25:51 on the screen.
14:02:54	A. Oh, yeah.
14:02:57 10	Q. Okay. Thank you.
14:03:10 11	Do you know what that car the beige car
14:03:37 12	or gray car that was there?
14:03:38 13	A. No.
14:03:39 14	Q. Okay. Now, the subject that we were
14:04:03 15	referring to before who was on his cell phone, does
14:04:07 16	he appear in the screen at 10:26:42?
14:04:11 17	A. Yes.
14:04:11 18	Q. Okay. Once again, not asking you to
14 04:13 19	verify the accuracy, just what time you see on the
14:04:16 20	screen.
14:04:23 21	So now at this point, do you see the subject
14:04:30 22	who was struck by the car walking back towards your
14:04:33 23	police vehicle?

14:04:36	1	A. The subject, yeah, that threw himself
14:04:39	2	on the car and the officer's walking him back,
14:04:42	3	yeah.
14:04:44	4	Q. Now, when you say, threw himself at the
14:04:46	5	car, is that based on what you remember or based on
14:04:49	6	what you saw on the video?
14:04:50	7	A. No. That's based off what I remember
14:04:52	8	seeing.
14:04:53	9	Q. Okay. What about based off of what you
14:04:56	10	see in the video, what do you think?
14:04:57	11	MS. HUGGINS: Form.
14:04:58	12	THE WITNESS: Based on what I see in the
14:04:59	13	video, it's a different perspective than what I saw
14:05:02	14	in real life.
14:05:03	15	BY MR. DAVENPORT:
14:05:03	16	Q. Okay. Now, at 10:27:00, we see an
14:05:21	17	officer that's walking towards the sidewalk; is
14:05:23	18	that correct?
14:05:23	19	A. Yeah. Yes.
14:05:24	20	Q. Do you know who that officer was?
14:05:28	21	A. Karl Schultz.
14:05:29	22	Q. Okay. Now, at 10:27:04, we see the
14:05:39	23	individual. Is he facing Karl Schultz?

14:05:43 1	A. In a bladed stance, yeah.
14:05:46 2	Q. What do you mean by a bladed stance?
14:05:48 3	A. It looks like his feet are facing
14:05:50 4	north, but his upper body is facing Karl, which is
14:05:53 5	west.
14:05:55 6	Q. Okay. I just want to rewind.
14:05:57 7	A. It looks like that.
14:05:59 8	Q. Okay. Okay. Now, immediately before
14:06:16 9	this 10:27 time stamp, did you see Karl Schultz
14:06:19 10	make any sort of gestures?
14:06:21 11	And I'll replay it.
14:06:23 12	A. Yeah. Can you go can you go back,
14:06:24 13	please?
14:06:24 14	Q. Yeah.
14:06:28 15	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
14:06:28 16	(Video clip played.)
14:06:41 17	THE WITNESS: He did something with his left
14:06:42 18	hand.
14:06:43 19	BY MR. DAVENPORT:
14:06:43 20	Q. Could you tell what that was?
14:06:46 21	A. Not not with this camera, no.
14:06:48 22	Q. Did it look like he was motioning for
14:06:50 23	someone to come towards him?
†	

JACK W. HUNT & ASSOCIATES, INC.
1120 Liberty Building

Buffalo, New York 14202 - (716) 853-5600

14:06:54 1	A. Either that or he was gesturing
14:06:56 2	towards towards someone.
14:06:57 3	Q. Okay. Did he make that same gesture
14:07:01 4	again?
14:07:02 5	A. Yeah. Yes. I'm sorry.
14:07:10 6	Q. Okay. Now, at this point, where is the
14:07:14 7	subject's right hand?
14:07:16 8	MS. HUGGINS: Form.
14:07:16 9	THE WITNESS: Up by his face.
14:07:18 10	BY MR. DAVENPORT:
14:07:18 11	Q. Okay. Does it appear that it's on the
14:07:22 12	side of his face? In front of his face?
14:07:24 13	A. The side of his face.
14:07:26 14	Q. Okay. Why do you think his hand would
14:07:28 15	be on the side of his face?
14:07:29 16	MS. HUGGINS: Form.
14:07:31 17	THE WITNESS: As we discussed earlier, it
14:07:32 18	appeared as though he was on his phone.
14:07:34 19	BY MR. DAVENPORT:
14:07:34 20	Q. Okay. So now the individual's still on
14:07:38 21	his phone, correct?
14 07:40 22	A. Yes.
14:07:40 23	Q. And did the officer make contact
)	

14:07:44	1	physical contact with the subject?
14:07:46	2	A. It you can't tell, but it looks as
14:07:51	3	though, with Karl's right arm, he might have made
14:07:55	4	contact with the left side of the subject's body.
14:07:57	5	Q. Okay. I'll just play it in one
14:08:00	6	continuous motion so that way hopefully you can see
14:08:03	7	that a little bit better.
14:08:04	8	MS. HUGGINS: Do you want to even go back
14:08:06	9	like one more second?
14:08:09	10	MR. DAVENPORT: Yeah.
14:08:10	11	MS. HUGGINS: I don't want it to skip.
14:08:10	12	(Video clip played.)
14:08:24	13	BY MR. DAVENPORT:
14:08:24	14	Q. So now did you see the subject also
14:08:26	15	raise his left arm?
14:08:27	16	A. I did.
14:08:28	17	Q. What did it look like he was doing?
14:08:31	18	A. I I I don't know what they
14:08:33	19	were I'm sorry I don't remember what they
14:08:35	20	were talking about, so I don't I don't know what
14:08:39	21	he was doing.
14:08:39	22	Q. Someone
14:08:40	23	A. I just came around from the other side
3		

JACK W. HUNT & ASSOCIATES, INC.
1120 Liberty Building

Buffalo, New York 14202 - (716) 853-5600

1 of the vehicle, as we just watched, so I don't know 14 08:42 14:08:44 what is taking place between the officer and the 14:08:49 subject. 14:08:49 4 So, now, when somebody raises their 14:08:51 5 left hand, as the subject did, and then they start 14:08:55 6 walking away from the officer, what would that say to you? 14:08:57 7 MS. HUGGINS: Form. 14:08:57 8 THE WITNESS: I -- it could mean a whole 14:08:58 bunch of things, and I don't know because, you 14:09:00 10 14:09:04 11 know -- and I can't even tell what I'm looking at, 14:09:07 12 you know, behind -- behind the tree. 14:09:08 13 Maybe I'm talking to, you know, Lauren. 14:09:10 14 Maybe I'm saying something to Lauren. Because I'm still bladed south, so I -- I don't know exactly 14:09:13 15 what they were talking about, and I -- and I --14:09:16 16 maybe I didn't even see him, you know, raise his 14:09:18 17 left hand, and maybe I didn't see Karl make the 14:09:22 18 14:09:24 19 motions with -- with his hands. I don't know 14:09:26 20 what's going on there. BY MR. DAVENPORT: 14:09:27 21

JACK W. HUNT & ASSOCIATES, INC.

1120 Liberty Building

Buffalo, New York 14202 - (716) 853-5600

Officer Moriarity. I'm not talking about what you

Sure. No. And I'm -- I'm sorry,

14:09:27 22

14:09:29 23

14:09:32	1	saw.
14:09:32	2	A. Okay.
14:09:32	3	Q. I'm just merely talking about what you
14:09:34	4	are seeing currently in the video. I understand
14:09:36	5	that on the day of the incident, you may have not
14:09:38	6	have seen every event that unfolded. I'm just
14:09:41	7	merely asking your opinion on what you just saw
14:09:43	8	between the subject and Officer Schultz.
14:09:44	9	MS. HUGGINS: Form.
14:09:44	10	THE WITNESS: Yeah, I mean, I I don't
14:09:46	11	I don't know what that hand motion could have been.
14:09:49	12	BY MR. DAVENPORT:
14:09:49	13	Q. Okay. But he did raise out his left
14:09:52	14	arm and then walk away from Officer Schultz,
14:09:55	15	correct?
14:09:55	16	A. Yes, he definitely did do that.
14:09:57	17	Q. Okay. So, now, did you see that
14 10:01	18	contact was made between Officer Schultz and the
14:10:04	19	individual?
14:10:04	20	A. Yes, I did.
14:10:05	21	Q. Physical contact.
14:10:08	22	I'm sorry, Officer Moriarity.
14:10:08	23	A. Yes.
,		

14:10:09	1	Q. Physical contact?
14:10:10	2	A. Yeah. Yeah.
14:10:13	3	Q. Okay. Now, at this point,
14:10:14	4	Officer Schultz is bringing the individual
14:10:16	5	out, back towards the street, correct?
14:10:19	6	A. Yes.
14:10:19	7	Q. And where are you at that point?
14:10:21	8	A. Right in front of him.
14:10:22	9	Q. Right in front of him? Okay.
14:10:24	10	Are you facing the the subject?
14:10:25	11	A. I am.
14:10:26	12	Q. Okay. Now, at this point does it
14:10:32	13	appear that the individual still had his right arm
14:10:36	14	up near his face?
14:10:37	15	And I'll rewind it a little bit just so we
14:10:40	16	can see it again.
14:10:41	17	A. Correct, he does.
14:10:41	18	Q. Okay.
14:10:46	19	A. Excuse me.
14:10:56	20	Q. So now I just want you to pay attention
14:10:59	21	and see, did that individual ever take his right
14:11:01	22	arm away from the side of his face?
14:11:03	23	A. No.

14:11:03 1	Q. Okay. And what would that lead you to
14:11:06 2	believe?
14:11:06 3	MS. HUGGINS: Form.
14:11:06 4	THE WITNESS: He he could still be on his
14:11:08 5	phone.
14:11:15 6	BY MR. DAVENPORT:
14:11:15 7	Q. Okay. Now, did you see Officer Schultz
14 11:17 8	grab something from the individual?
14:11:20 9	And I can replay it.
14:11:22 10	A. Yeah, could you, please? Thank you.
14:11:26 11	MR. DAVENPORT: Sure.
14:11:26 12	(Video clip played.)
14:11:26 13	BY MR. DAVENPORT:
14:11:44 14	Q. Did it appear that he grabbed something
14 11:46 15	out of the individual's right hand?
14:11:48 16	A. It looked that way.
14:11:50 17	Q. Okay. What do you think he grabbed?
14:11:52 18	A. He could have grabbed his phone.
14:11:54 19	MS. HUGGINS: Form.
14:11:55 20	BY MR. DAVENPORT:
14:11:56 21	Q. Where are you facing at that time?
14:11:57 22	A. It looks like I'm facing them.
14:11:59 23	Q. So you would assume that you probably
1	

JACK W. HUNT & ASSOCIATES, INC.
1120 Liberty Building

14:12:00 1	saw that?
14:12:01 2	MS. HUGGINS: Form.
14:12:01 3	THE WITNESS: Yeah. I mean, I I yeah.
14:12:09 4	BY MR. DAVENPORT:
14:12:09 5	Q. Okay. So what did you just see right
14:12:11 6	there?
14:12:11 7	A. I don't know what I just I don't
14:12:13 8	it looked like he pulled away and resisted whatever
14:12:19 9	Karl was doing.
14:12:22 10	Q. Did it look like it needed two officers
14 12:25 11	to keep that subject under control?
14:12:28 12	A. I would I would think so, yeah.
14:12:29 13	Q. Is that something that's typically done
14:12:32 14	is two officers
14:12:33 15	A. Yes.
14:12:33 16	Q to keep someone under control?
14:12:37 17	MS. HUGGINS: Form.
14:12:44 18	BY MR. DAVENPORT:
14:12:44 19	Q. Now, we talked about verbal cues before
14:12:46 20	as something that was part of your training. When
14 12:48 21	would it be appropriate to use verbal cues as
14:12:51 22	opposed to physical cues to subdue somebody who is
14:12:54 23	trying to resist detainment?

14:12:56	1	A. It all depends on
14:12:56	2	MS. HUGGINS: Form.
14:12:58	3	THE WITNESS: the actions of the person.
14:13:00	4	BY MR. DAVENPORT:
14:13:00	5	Q. What actions that you see in this video
14 13:02	6	would lead you to believe that physical methods
14:13:06	7	rather than verbal methods should have been used
14:13:08	8	for that individual?
14:13:09	9	A. Right now it's verbal, and then if you
14:13:13	10	press play, right now.
14:13:16	11	Q. And what what leads you to believe
14:13:18	12	that physical
14:13:20	13	A. He did a pushing off or a jerking
14:13:23	14	motion from Karl when Karl was trying to talk to
14:13:26	15	him and continue whatever contact he was originally
14:13:31	16	trying to make with him in in in detainment.
14:13:36	17	In a form of detainment.
14:13:38	18	Q. Now, who pushed away first? Was it the
14:13:41	19	subject?
14:13:41	20	A. It appeared that way, yeah.
14:13:43	21	Q. Okay. We'll watch it again.
14:14:01	22	At what point
14:14:02	23	A. Yeah.
)		

14:14:02	1	Q did he
14:14:02	2	A. Right
14:14:04	3	Q push
14:14:04	4	A. Right
14:14:04	5	Q away?
14:14:04	6	A. Right before right before he turned
14:14:06	7	to face Karl, he pushed. He pushed away.
14:14:10	8	Q. Did he extend his arm?
14:14:11	9	A. You don't need to extend your arm. You
14:12	10	can keep your arm close to your body and and use
14:14:15	11	your legs to power away.
14:14:17	12	Q. So it wouldn't have necessarily been
14:14:19	13	a push with the arms. He was just trying to escape
14:14:21	14	the officer's grasp? Would that be a more fair
14:14:25	15	characterization?
14:14:26	16	MS. HUGGINS: Form.
14:14:26	17	THE WITNESS: I don't know if he was trying
14:14:27	18	to escape the officer's grasp. He was in an
14:14:32	19	emotional state, as per the video.
14:14:37	20	People people react in certain ways.
14:14:41	21	And after this, he was fine, from what the video
14:14:44	22	showed.
14:14:44	23	BY MR. DAVENPORT:

14:14:44	1	Q. But I guess did he ever use his legs to
14:14:46	2	try to push away from the officer?
14:14:48	3	A. Play it again, please.
14:14:52	4	Q. Yeah.
14:14:53	5	I guess a couple of quick questions while
14:16:05	6	we're waiting for this to load up.
14:16:07	7	Do you receive an hourly wage, or are you
14:16:09	8	salaried?
14:16:10	9	A. Salaried.
14:16:11	10	Q. You're salaried?
14:16:13	11	A. Yeah.
14:16:13	12	Q. Okay. Do you have to hit a certain
14:16:16	13	number of hours to receive your salary for a week?
14 16:18	14	A. 40.
14:16:19	15	Q. Okay. Do you receive any overtime
14:16:22	16	beyond what your salary is?
14;16:24	17	A. If I choose to take it, yeah.
14:16:25	18	Q. Okay. And how is your overtime rate
14:16:28	19	determined?
14:16:29	20	A. Time and a half.
14:16:31	21	Q. Time and so how do you determine
14:16:34	22	what time and a half is if you're not paid hourly?
14:16:38	23	MS. HUGGINS: Form.

•		
14:16:38	1	THE WITNESS: Yeah. That's broken down by
14:16:45	2	payroll. I mean, yeah, there there's an hourly
14:16:47	3	rate, but I make I made at the time, I think
14:16:51	4	it was forty-three seven.
14:16:55	5	BY MR. DAVENPORT:
14:16:55	6	Q. Okay. So were you salaried at that
14:16:57	7	time as well?
14:16:58	8	A. Yeah.
14:16:59	9	Q. Okay. Are all officers salaried
14:17:01	10	workers or are some of them hourly?
14:17:03	11	A. No. It's all salary.
14:17:04	12	Q. It is all salary? Okay.
14:17:07	13	So, now, just so that way we can go back to
14:17:16	14	what we were trying to look at before, I just want
14:17:19	15	you to focus on whether the subject ever extends
14:17:22	16	his arm or uses his legs in any sort of fashion
14:17:24	17	that would lead you to believe that he's trying to
14:17:27	18	push away from Officer Karl Schultz.
14:17:28	19	MS. HUGGINS: If it's possible, can you go
14:17:30	20	back one more second?
14:17:31	21	MR. DAVENPORT: Yeah, I'll try.
14:17:34	22	MS. HUGGINS: I know it's not cooperating,
14:17:37	23	but